

Causal Reachability of 2D System *

ZOU Yun and YANG Chengwu

(School of Power Engineering & Dynamics, Nanjing University of Science & Technology • Nanjing, 210094, PRC)

Abstract: A new definition of controllability for 2D Roesser Model is proposed, and it is shown that the new definition is dual to the well known concept of causal observability proposed by Bisiacco^[1]. Finally the similar results are also generalized to the 2D general state-space model.

Key words: 2D systems; 2D reachability; linear systems

1 Introduction

2D discrete state-space theory has a wide range of applications in digital filtering, digital picture processing, seismic data processing and many other areas^[1], and it has received increasing attention. In last decade, a great deal of extensive research has been made for the controllability and observability theory to 2D discrete state-space models, such as Roesser model^[2] and the general model^[3], etc. Among these works, several of the most remarkable progress are involved in the local controllability/observability^[2], global controllability (and reachability)/observability (and reconstructibility)^[4~6], and also the causal observability and reconstructibility^[5]. However, the local notion is proved to be not very important^[4], and the global concepts are usually difficult to test although their applications in observer design^[7] and feedback stabilizability^[8] are very significant. Therefore, those causal concepts appear of considerable interest^[4~13]. Bisiacco^[5] and Kurek^[12] have also proposed a notion of controllability to be dual to causal reconstructibility, which is very useful in deadbeat control. But unfortunately, there exists not any appropriate known discrete state-space definition for the notion of controllability or reachability that is dual to causal observability. This notion will be of very importance to the synthesis of 2D systems^[13].

In this note, the main purpose is just to set up a new definition of reachability for the dual concept of causal observability to 2D Roesser model as well as general model in discrete state-space.

2 Problem Statement and Preliminaries

Consider the following 2D Roesser model (2-DRM).

* This project was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China.

Manuscript received Dec. 27, 1993, revised Sep. 23, 1994.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x^h(i+1, j) \\ x^v(i, j+1) \end{bmatrix} &= Ax(i, j) + Bu(i, j), \\ y(i, j) &= Cx(i, j), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $x(i, j) = \begin{bmatrix} x^h(i, j) \\ x^v(i, j) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

is a local state vector, $x^h(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $x^v(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ and $n = n_1 + n_2$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is an input vector, $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is an output vector and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = [C_1, C_2]$$

are real matrices of appropriate dimensions.

Model (1) is a local only^[12]. Hence, the boundary condition set (BCS) will be assumed to be the so-called standard BCS, i. e.

$$x^h(0, j) = x_{0, j}, \quad x^v(i, 0) = x_{i, 0}. \quad (2)$$

In order to illustrate the problem under discussion clearly, we introduce the following definitions and theorems.

Definition 1^[11] The 2-DRM is said to be causally observable/reconstructable, if one can calculate its local state $x(k, l)$ based on future/past outputs and inputs of the systems.

Definition 2^[12] The 2-DRM is said to be causally controllable, if for any $x(0, 0) = x_0$ and other standard BCS equal to zero there exist (k, l) and an appropriate input sequence $\{u(i, j); i, j \geq 0\}$ such that $x(i, j) = 0$ for $i > k$ or $j > l$.

Theorem 1^[11] The 2-DRM is causal observable/causal reconstructable if and only if for any finitely large complex numbers z_1, z_2 ,

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} I(z_1, z_2) - A \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n / \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} I - I(z_1, z_2)A \\ C \end{bmatrix} = n. \quad (3)$$

Here $I(z_1, z_2) = \text{diag}\{I_{n_1, z_1}, I_{n_2, z_2}\}$.

Theorem 2^[12] The 2-DRM is causal controllable if and only if for any finitely large complex numbers z_1, z_2 ,

$$\text{rank}[I - AI(z_1, z_2), B] = n. \quad (4)$$

Obviously, it follows from theorem 2 that:

i) Causal controllability is dual to causal reconstructibility.

ii) 2D causal controllability/observability (reconstructibility) is a well reasonable generalization of the corresponding 1D concept. However, it is different from 1D case that causal observability is no longer implies causal reconstructability and vice versa even if A, A_{11} and A_{22} are simultaneously nonsingular^[14].

Thus, by the duality between causal reconstructibility and causal controllability of 2-DRM it can be foreseen that the dual notion of causal observability, i. e. the reachability corresponding to the criterion

$$\text{rank}[I(z_1, z_2) - A, B] = n \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \neq \infty \quad (5)$$

might have been much different from the causal controllability. Now we can formulate the problem under study as: To find a definition called causal reachability in discrete state-space for 2-DRM such that condition (5) is a sufficient and necessary criterion of it.

3 Causal Reachability

Definition 3 2-DRM is said to be causal reachable, if for zero BCS and an arbitrary $x_0 \in R^n$ there exist $(k, l) > (0, 0)$ and input sequence $u(i, j), (0, 0) \leq (i, j) \leq (k, l)$, such that $\begin{bmatrix} x^h(k+1, l) \\ x^v(k, l+1) \end{bmatrix} = x_0$ and

$$x^h(k+1, j) = 0, \quad x^v(i, l+1) = 0; \quad (0, 0) \leq (i, j) \leq (k-1, l-1).$$

Theorem 3 2-DRM is causal reachable if and only if (5) holds.

Proof For 2-DRM with BCS being equal to zero one gets after 2D z transformation that

$$I(z_1, z_2)X(z_1, z_2) = AX(z_1, z_2) + BU(z_1, z_2), \quad (6)$$

where

$$X(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x(i, j) z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}, \quad (7a)$$

$$U(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u(i, j) z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}. \quad (7b)$$

Multiplying (6) both sides by $z_1^k z_2^l$, one gets from (7) that

$$[I(z_1, z_2) - A]\hat{X}(z_1, z_2) + B\hat{U}(z_1, z_2) + \hat{Y}(z_1, z_2) + S(z_1, z_2) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\hat{X}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=-k}^0 \sum_{j=-l}^0 x(i+k, j+l) z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\hat{U}(z_1, z_2) = - \sum_{i=-k}^0 \sum_{j=-l}^0 u(i+k, j+l) z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}, \quad (9b)$$

$$\hat{Y}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j=-l}^0 \begin{bmatrix} x^h(k+1, j+l) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} z_2^{-j} + \sum_{i=-k}^0 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x^v(k+i, j+1) \end{bmatrix} z_1^{-i} \quad (9c)$$

and $S(z_1, z_2)$ is the series of z_1^{-i} or z_2^{-j} with $i > 1$ or $j > 1$. Therefore from (8) it follows that

$$[I(z_1, z_2) - A]\hat{X}(z_1, z_2) + B\hat{U}(z_1, z_2) = -\hat{Y}(z_1, z_2). \quad (10)$$

Now, by definition 3 it is obvious that 2-D RM is causal reachable if and only if for any $x_0 \in R^n$ there exists a polynomial solution X and U to equation

$$[I(z_1, z_2) - A]X + BU = x_0, \quad (11)$$

i. e. (5) holds^[15].

Example 1 Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Then for any $z_1, z_2 \neq \infty$,

$$\text{rank}[I(z_1, z_2) - A, B] = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & z_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2.$$

So (12) is causal reachable.

Example 2 Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Then

$$\text{rank}[I(1, 0) - A, B] = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 < 2.$$

So (13) is not causal reachable although it is both local reachable^[2] and modal controllable^[1]. In fact in this example,

$$\begin{aligned} x^h(i+1, j) &= u(i, j), \quad x^v(i, j+1) = u(i, j) - u(i-1, j), \\ x^v(0, j+1) &= -x^h(0, j) + u(0, j), \quad \forall (i, j) \geq (1, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Therefore the same conclusion can be easily derived from the definition directly.

4 Generalization in 2D General Model

Consider the following 2D linear shift-invariant discrete state-space general model (2-DGM)

$$\begin{aligned} x(i+1, j+1) &= A_0x(i, j) + A_1x(i+1, j) + A_2x(i, j+1) \\ &\quad + B_0u(i, j) + B_1u(i+1, j) + B_2u(i, j+1), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $x(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u(i, j) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are the local state and input of the system respectively. A_k, B_k , ($k = 0, 1, 2$), are real matrices of appropriate dimensions. The boundary condition set of (15) is

$$\text{BCS} = \{x(i, 0), x(0, j); i, j \geq 0\}. \quad (16)$$

Definition 4 2-D GM is said to be causal reachable, if for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and zero BCS there exist $(k, l) \geq (0, 0)$ and an appropriate input sequence $\{u(i, j), (0, 0) \leq (i, j) \leq (k, l)\}$ such that $x(k+1, l+1) = x_0$ and $x(k, j) = x(i, l) = 0$ for any $(0, 0) \leq (i, j) \leq (k, l)$.

Theorem 4 2-D GM is causal reachable if and only if

$$\text{rank}[A(z_1, z_2), B(z_1, z_2)] = n, \quad \text{for } \forall z_1, z_2 \neq \infty, \quad (17)$$

where

$$A(z_1, z_2) = z_1z_2I - z_1A_1 - z_2A_2 - A_0, \quad (18a)$$

$$B(z_1, z_2) = B_0 + z_1B_1 + z_2B_2. \quad (18b)$$

Proof It is very similar to the proof of theorem 3 and is omitted hence.

Finally, as the end of this section we introduce a consequence to illustrate the importance of the causal reachability.

Theorem 5 Let (1) be a single input and single output (SISO) 2-DRM. Then there

exists a compensator $G_c(z_1, z_2) = V(z_1, z_2)/w(z_1, z_2)$ for (1) such that the transfer function of the closed loop has any given denominator $\varphi(z_1, z_2)$ if (1) is causal irreducible (i. e. both causal reachable and causal observable).

Proof It is a directly corollary of the theorem 5 in [13].

5 Conclusion Remarks

In this note the new definition of reachability, a dual notion to causal observability, for 2-DRM as well as 2-DGM is proposed. Obviously, this concept implies the modal controllability/modal reachability^[7], and local controllability/local reachability. However the relationship between causal reachability and global reachability is still unclear.

References

- [1] Morf, M., Levy, B. C. and Kung S. Y.. New Results in 2-D Systems Theory. Part 1. Proc. of the IEEE, 1977, 65: 861—872
- [2] Roesser, R. P.. A Discrete State-Space Model for Linear Image Processing. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 1975, AC-20: 1—10
- [3] Kurek, J. E.. The General State-Space Model for Two-Dimensional Linear Digital Systems. IEEE. Trans. Automat. Contr., 1985, AC-30: 600—602
- [4] Bisiacco, M.. State and Output Feedback Stabilizability of 2-D Systems. IEEE Trans. Circuits Sys., 1985, 32: 1246—1254
- [5] Bisiacco, M.. On the State Reconstruction of 2-D Systems. Sys. & Control Lett., 1985, 5: 347—353
- [6] Bisiacco, M.. On the Structure of 2-D Observers. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 1986, 31: 676—686
- [7] Kung, S. Y., Levy, B. C., Morf, M. and Kailath, T.. New Results in 2-D Systems Theory. Part I. Math Sys. Theory, 1977, 12: 59—72
- [8] Sebek, M., Bisiacco, M. and Fornasini, E.. Controllability and Reconstructability Conditions for 2-D Systems. IEEE Trans. Circuits Sys., 1983, 33: 496—499
- [9] Kurek, J. E.. Reachability of a System Described by the Multidimensional Roesser Model. Int. J. Control, 1987, 45: 1559—1563
- [10] Kurek, J. E.. Observability and Reconstructability of 2-D Linear Digital Systems. IEEE Trans. Autom. Contr., 1987, 32: 170—172
- [11] Kurek, J. E.. Strong Observability and Strong Reconstructibility of 2-D Roesser Model. Int. J. Control, 1988, 47: 633—641
- [12] Kurek, J. E.. Controllability of the 2-D Roesser Model. Mutidimensional Systems & Signal Processing, 1990, 1: 381—387
- [13] Chen, W. D.. Reachability, Observability and Synthesis of Two Dimensional System. (in Chinese). Control Theory & Applications, 1984, 1(4): 43—53
- [14] Zou Yun, Yang Chengwu. 2-D Linear Discrete Systems. (in Chinese). Defence Industry Press, Beijing, 1995
- [15] Youla, D. C.. Notes on n -Dimensional System Theory. IEEE Trans. Circuits Sys., 1979, 26: 105—111

2D 系统的因果能达性

邹云 杨成梧

(南京理工大学动力工程学院·南京, 210094)

摘要: 本文给出了 2D Roesser 模型的一种新的能达性定义, 并证明了这一新概念与 Bisiacco^[1] 在 1985 年提出的因果能观性是对偶的, 从而最终完善了现有 2D 离散系统能达(能观)性与能控(可重构)性理论. 最后本文还将结果推广到 2D 一般离散模型, 并利用陈文德在 1984 年的结果给出了这种新的能达性的一类应用.

关键词: 2D 系统理论; 2D 能达性; 线性系统理论

本文作者简介

邹云 1962 年生, 1983 年于西北大学数学系获理学学士学位, 1987 年和 1990 年在原华东工学院分获工学硕士和博士学位, 后留校任教. 现为南京理工大学动力工程学院教授, 美国《数学评论》评论员, 美国数学协会会员. 主要研究兴趣为线性系统理论鲁棒控制与容错控制理论等.

杨成梧 1936 年生, 1961 年毕业于哈尔滨军事工程学院, 后一直在南京理工大学任教. 现为南京理工大学工程热物理与飞行力学系教授, 博士生导师. 目前主要研究领域是广义系统, 2-D 系统, H_∞ 控制理论, 离散事件动态系统, 非线性系统几何方法及正交函数理论及应用, 高速采样控制等.

国际会议消息

Title	1995	Place	Deadline	Further Information
IFAC Workshop Control Education and Technology Transfer Issues	Sept. 11-12	Cruitiba Brazil		CETTI '95 Secretariat Centro Federal de Educacao Tecnologica do Paraná, Av. 7 de Setembro 3165 80280-901 Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil FAX +55/41/224-5170 e-mail: CETTI95 @ cefet.anpr.br
IFAC Symposium Low Cost Automation	Sept. 13-15	Buenos Aires Argentina		IFACLCA 95, Eng. A. T. Casucci AADECA, Av. Callao 220 10B 1022 Buenos Aires, Argentina FAX +54/1/374 3760
IFAC Workshop Human-Oriented Design of Advanced Robotics Systems	Sept. 19-20	Vienna Austria		Prof. P. Kopacek, Technical University /E 318 Foragasse 7 a, A-1040 Vienna, Austria FAX +43/1/504 18 359 e-mail: kopacek @ ihrtl.ihrt.tuwien.ac.at
IFAC Symposium Automated Systems Based on Human Skills "Joint Design of Technology and Organization"	Sept. 25-28	Berlin Germany		VDI/VDE GMA, Graf Recke Strasse 84 D-40239 Düsseldorf, Germany FAX +49/211/6214-161
IFAC Workshop (13th) Distributed Computer Control Systems-DCCS 95	Sept. 27-29	Toulouse France		Prof. A. E. K. Sahaoui LAAS-Cnrs, 7, Avenue Colonel Roche F-31077 Toulouse, France FAX +33/61553577 e-mail: dccs 95 @ lass.fr

(下转 497 页)