

The Decomposition of An Operator on Product B-Spaces And Its Applications

Zhao Yi

(Zhongshan University, Guangzhou)

Abstract

In this paper, two fundamental theorems are given to discuss several types of decomposition of an operator and conditions for the operator to generate a C_0 semigroup under such decompositions. An example is also given to indicate the application of the above theorems.

I. Introduction

The conditions that a linear operator generates a C_0 semigroup on product B-spaces or decomposition of a linear operator and its application to initial value problems with non-local boundary conditions and boundary value control are discussed in a few papers [1], [2], [3], [4]. Now, we want to develop these works specializing for multiple coupling systems.

II. Fundamental Theorems

Consider the following system;

$$dx/dt = Ax, \quad x(0) = x_0. \quad (1)$$

We assume that

$$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3$$

where X_1, X_2 and X_3 are B-spaces, A is a linear operator from X to X with domain $D(A) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in X : x_i \in S_{X_i} \subset X_i, i=1, 2, 3\}$. We also assume that A_i is a linear operator on X_i respectively.

* This work is supported in part by the Foundation of Zhongshan University Advanced Research Centre.

Manuscript received Dec. 4, 1982, revised Sept. 6, 1983.

We have the following theorems on decomposition of A and condition to generate a C_0 semigroup.

Theorem 1 We assume that

i) $D(A_i) = S_{x_i}$, $i=2, 3$. $D(A_1) = (\tilde{x}_1 \in X_1 : \tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3, x_i \in S_{x_i}, i=1, 2, 3)$. F_{21} is a bounded operator from x_2 to x_1 , F_{31} is a bounded operator from x_3 to x_1 .

ii) A_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) generates C_0 semigroup T_i^t on X respectively.

iii) A can be decomposed into the following form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -F_{21} & -F_{31} \\ 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{21}A_2 & F_{31}A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $x_i \in S_{x_i}$. Then, A can generate a C_0 semigroup T_t on X , and T_t is expressed as follows

$$T_t = \begin{pmatrix} T_1^t & F_{21}T_1^t & -F_{31}T_1^t \\ 0 & T_2^t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_3^t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Proof According to assumptions i) and ii) as well as (3), we can verify that

- 1, T_t is an operator from R^+ to $L(X)$,
- 2, $T_0 = I$,
- 3, $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$, $t > 0, s > 0$,
- 4, for any $x_0 \in X$, $\|T_t x_0 - x_0\| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$,

5,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (T_t x - x)/t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \begin{pmatrix} (T_1^t(x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3)/t - x_1/t + F_{21}T_1^t x_2/t + F_{31}T_1^t x_3/t) \\ (T_2^t x_2 - x_2)/t \\ (T_3^t x_3 - x_3)/t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \begin{pmatrix} (T_1^t(x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3) - (x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3))/t + F_{21}(T_1^t x_2 - x_2)/t + F_{31}(T_1^t x_3 - x_3)/t \\ (T_2^t x_2 - x_2)/t \\ (T_3^t x_3 - x_3)/t \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_1(x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3) + F_{21}A_2x_2 + F_{31}A_3x_3 \\ A_2x_2 \\ A_3x_3 \end{bmatrix} = Ax$$

by (2) for $x_i \in S_{x_i} = D(A_i)$ ($i=2, 3$) and $x_1 \in S_{x_1}$, since $x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3 \in D(A_1)$ for $x_i \in S_{x_i}$ ($i=1, 2, 3$).

The proof of theorem is completed by conclusions 1~5.

Remark 1 We can generalize the theorem to more general cases;

$$X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_N, N \geq 2,$$

$$D(A_i) = S_{x_i}, i=2, 3, \dots, N,$$

$$D(A_1) = \{ \tilde{x}_1 \in X_1 : \tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^N F_{i1}x_i, x_i \in S_{x_i}, i=1, \dots, N \}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & A_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & -F_{21} & \dots & F_{N1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F_{21}A_2 & \dots & F_{N1}A_N \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where A_i ($i=1, \dots, N$) is densely defined as an closed operator in X_i and generates a C_0 semigroup T_i^t on X_i respectively, F_i ($i=2, \dots, N$) is a bounded operator from X_i to X_1 respectively.

Then, A generates a C_0 semigroup T_t on X and

$$T_t x = \begin{bmatrix} T_1^t \left(x_1 - \sum_{i=2}^N F_{i1}x_i \right) + \sum_{i=2}^N F_{i1}T_i^t x_i \\ T_2^t x_2 \\ \vdots \\ T_N^t x_N \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Moreover, we can assume that

$$\|R(\lambda, A_i)^r\| \leq m_i/(\lambda - a_i)^r, i=1, \dots, N,$$

by Hille-Yosida theorem and we can conclude that

$$\|R(\lambda, A)'\| \leq m(N+2(N-1)c)/(\lambda-a)', \quad (6)$$

$$\|T_t\| \leq m(N+2(N-1)c)\exp(at), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

where $m = \max(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N)$, $a = \max(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N)$, $c = \max(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)$, $\|F_{i1}\| \leq c$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, N$), and taking

$$\|\cdot\|_x = \sqrt{\|\cdot\|^2 x_1 + \|\cdot\|^2 x_2 + \dots + \|\cdot\|^2 x_N}.$$

Remark 2 In some cases, operator A usually is decomposed into the following form

$$A = \tilde{A} + \phi$$

where $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the assumptions of the theorem or remark 1 and ϕ is a bounded operator from X to X . As it is well known, A generates a C_0 semigroup on X .

Especially, if A is as the first term in (4) and ϕ is as the minus second term in (4), the solution for the system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax, \quad x(0) = x_0 = (x_{10}, \dots, x_{N0})$$

is as follows

$$x_i(t) = T_i^t x_i, \quad i=2, \dots, N,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) = & T_1^t \left(x_{10} - \sum_{i=2}^N F_{i1} x_{i0} \right) + \sum_{i=2}^N F_{i1} T_i^t x_{i0} + \\ & + \int_0^t T_1^{t-s} \left(- \sum_{i=2}^N F_{i1} A_i T_i^s x_{i0} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In what follows, we give more general form of decomposition of A .

Theorem 2 We assume that

$$i) D(A_3) = S_{x_3},$$

$$D(A_2) = (\tilde{x}_2 \in X_2: \tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - F_{32} x_3, \quad x_i \in S_{x_i}, \quad i=2, 3), \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(A_1) = & (\tilde{x}_1 \in X_1: \tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - F_{21} \tilde{x}_2 - F_{31} x_3, \quad x_i \in S_{x_i}, \\ & \tilde{x}_2 \in D(A_2), \quad x_3 \in S_{x_3}) \end{aligned}$$

where F_{32} is a bounded operator from X_3 to X_2 , F_{21} is a bounded operator from X_2 to X_1 and F_{31} is a bounded operator from X_3 to X_1 .

ii) As the same as assumption ii) of theorem 1.

iii) A can be decomposed into the following form;

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -F_{21} & -F_{31} + F_{21}F_{32} \\ 0 & I & -F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \\ + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{21}A_2 & F_{31}A_3 - F_{21}A_2F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & F_{32}A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Then, A can generate a C_0 semigroup T_t on X and T_t is expressed as follows

$$T_t = \begin{pmatrix} T_t^1 & -T_t^1F_{21} + F_{21}T_t^2 & T_t^1F_{21}F_{32} - T_t^1F_{31} - F_{21}T_t^2F_{32} + F_{31}T_t^3 \\ 0 & T_t^2 & F_{32}T_t^3 - T_t^2F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & T_t^3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

Proof We can rewrite (10) as follows.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & -A_1F_{21} + F_{21}A_2 & A_1F_{21}F_{32} - A_1F_{31} - F_{21}A_2F_{32} + F_{31}A_3 \\ 0 & A_2 & F_{32}A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{A}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -F_{32} \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{32}A_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

According to the assumption of the theorem and results of theorem 1 and remark 1, we can see $\tilde{A}$ generates a C_0 semigroup $\tilde{T}_t$ on $\tilde{X} = X_2 \oplus X_3$ and we have

$$\tilde{T}_t \tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} T_t^2(x_2 - F_{32}x_3) + F_{32}T_t^2x_3 \\ T_t^3x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

On the one hand, it follows from (9) that;

$$D(A_1) = (\tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - \tilde{F} \tilde{x}, x_1 \in S_{x_1}, \tilde{x} \in D(\tilde{A}), \\ \tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_2, x_3)^T, \tilde{x}_2 \in D(A_2), x_3 \in S_{x_3}), \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{F} = (F_{21}, F_{31})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}\tilde{x} &= F_{21}\tilde{x}_2 + F_{31}\tilde{x}_3 = F_{21}\tilde{x}_2 - F_{21}F_{32}\tilde{x}_2 + F_{31}\tilde{x}_3 \\ &= (F_{21}, F_{31} - F_{21}F_{32})\tilde{x} \triangleq \tilde{F}\tilde{x}, \quad \tilde{x} = (x_2, x_3)^T. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, we can also express (12) by the following form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{A} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -F \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{F}\tilde{A} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

and $F = (F_{21}, F_{31} - F_{21}F_{32})$ is a bounded operator from $\tilde{X}$ to X_1 since F_{21} , F_{31} and F_{32} all are bounded operators.

So, we can use the results of theorem 1 and remark 1 again by (15), (16) and (17) and we obtain that A generates a C_0 semigroup T_t on $X = X_1 \oplus \tilde{X} = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3$,

and

$$T_t x = \begin{pmatrix} T_t^1 x_1 - T_t^1 F \tilde{x} + F T_t \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{T}_t \tilde{x} \end{pmatrix} = (11)x. \quad (11)$$

The proof of the theorem is completed.

Remark 3 It is easy to verify that the assumptions and results of theorem 2 are reduced to the assumptions and results of theorem 1 when $F_{32} = 0$.

Remark 4 When $F_{31} = 0$ we can obtain another form of decomposition of A distinct from the form stated in theorem 1:

$$D(A_3) = S_{X_3}$$

$$D(A_2) = \{\tilde{x}_2 \in X_2: \tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - F_{32}x_3, \quad x_i \in S_{X_i}, \quad i=2, 3\}$$

$$D(A_1) = \{\tilde{x}_1 \in X_1: \tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - F_{21}\tilde{x}_2, \quad x_i \in S_{X_i}, \quad \tilde{x}_2 \in D(A_2)\}$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -F_{21} & F_{21}F_{32} \\ 0 & I & -F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{21}A_2 & -F_{21}A_2F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & F_{32}A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

$$T_t x = \begin{pmatrix} T_t^1(x_1 - F_{21}(x_2 - F_{32}x_3)) + F_{21}T_t^2(x_2 - F_{32}x_3) \\ T_t^2(x_2 - F_{32}x_3) + F_{32}T_t^3x_3 \\ T_t^3x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Remark 5 When $D(A_1) = (\tilde{x}_1 \in X_1 : \tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3, x_i \in S_{x_i}, i=1, 2, 3)$ instead of (9), we can also acquire another form of decomposition of A distinct from (2), (3) or (18), (19):

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -F_{21} & -F_{31} \\ 0 & I & -F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{21}A_2 & F_{21}F_{32}A_3 + F_{31}A_3 - F_{21}A_2F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & F_{32}A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

$$T_t x = \begin{pmatrix} T_t^1(x_1 - F_{21}x_2 - F_{31}x_3) + F_{21}T_t^2(x - F_{32}x_3) + \\ \quad + (F_{21}F_{32} + F_{31})T_t^3x_3 \\ T_t^2(x_2 - F_{32}x_3) + F_{32}T_t^3x_3 \\ -T_t^3x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

since $F_{21}x_2 = F_{21}(\tilde{x}_2 + F_{32}x_3) = F_{21}\tilde{x}_2 + F_{21}F_{32}x_3$.

The result implies that the domains of operators A_1 , A_2 and A_3 are connected with each other like a chain.

Remark 6 It follows from the proof of theorem 2 that the results of theorem 2 can be extended to the case of $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_N$, $N \geq 2$ by using the method as was stated in the proof repeatedly.

Remark 7 The key point to apply theorem 1 and 2 is to find out F_{ij} so that the assumptions of theorems are satisfied.

III. An example of more than two systems couple with each other on the boundaries.

consider the system as follows

$$\partial x_1 / \partial t = A_1 x_1 = \partial^2 x_1 / \partial \xi^2, \quad \xi \in (0, 1), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$x_1(\xi, t)|_{t=0} = x_{10} \in L^2(0, 1),$$

$$x_1(0, t) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_{3i} g_i, \quad f(\cdot) \in C_0^1(0, 1), \quad (22)$$

$$x_1(1, t) = \int_0^1 f(\xi) x_2(\xi, t) d\xi,$$

$$\partial x_2 / \partial t = A_2 x_2 = -v \partial x_2 / \partial \xi, \quad A_2 \text{ --- convection operator}$$

v --- positive constant (convection speed),

$$x_2|_{t=0} = \langle b, x_3 \rangle_{R^m}, \quad b = (b^1, \dots, b^m)^T, \quad x_2(t, \xi)|_{t=0} = x_{20} \in L^2(0, 1),$$

$$dx_3/dt = A_3 x_3 + Du, \quad A_3 \text{ --- } m \times m \text{ matrix,}$$

$$x_3 = (x_3^1, \dots, x_3^m) \in K^m, \quad x_3(0) = x_{30} \in R,$$

$$u(\cdot) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, \infty), \quad u(t) \in K^n (n \leq m), \quad D \text{ --- } m \times m \text{ matrix}$$

Now, we have

$$S_{X_3} = \{x_3 \in R\},$$

$$S_{X_2} = \{x_2 \in H^1(0, 1): x_2|_{t=0} = \langle b, x_3 \rangle_{R^m}\},$$

$$S_{X_1} = \left\{ x_1 \in H^2(0, 1): x_1|_{t=0} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_3^i g_i, \right.$$

$$\left. x_1|_{t=1} = \int_0^1 f(\xi) x_2(\xi, t) d\xi \right\}.$$

If we take

$$D(A_2) = S_{X_3},$$

$$D(A_2) = \{\tilde{x}_2 \in H^1(0, 1): \tilde{x}_2 = x_2 - F_{32} x_3, \quad x_i \in S_{X_i}, \quad i=2, 3\},$$

$$D(A_1) = \{\tilde{x}_1 \in H^2(0, 1): \tilde{x}_1 = x_1 - F_{21} x_2 - F_{31} x_3, \quad x_i \in S_{X_i}\},$$

where

$$F_{32} x_3 = \langle b, x_3 \rangle_{R^m},$$

$$F_{31} x_3 = \langle G(\xi), x_3 \rangle_{R^m}, \quad G(\xi) = (G^1(\xi), \dots, G^m(\xi))^T$$

$$\text{satisfying } d^2 G^i(\xi)/d\xi^2 = 0, \quad G^i|_{t=1} = 0,$$

$$F_{21} x_2 = \overline{G} \int_0^1 f(\xi) x_2(\xi, t) d\xi, \quad \overline{G} = \xi,$$

then, A_1 generates a C_0 semigroup T_i^1 on $X_1 = L^2(0, 1)$ and A_i ($i=2, 3$) generates a C_0 group T_i^i on X ($i=2, 3$) respectively, $X_2 = L^2(0, 1)$,

$$X_3 = R.$$

Now, the systems (22) can be described by

$$dx/dt = Ax + \phi x + \overline{D}u$$

where A is expressed by (20) and

$$\phi = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_{21} A_2 & F_{21} F_{32} A_3 + F_{31} A_3 - F_{21} A_2 F_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & F_{32} A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\overline{D}u = (0, 0, Du)^T$, and A generates a C_0 semigroup T , given by (21) on $X = X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus X_3 = L^2(0, 1) \oplus L^2(0, 1) \oplus R$ by remark 5.

we can verify that $F_{2,1}A_2$ is a bounded operator from $L^2(0, 1)$ to itself by the definition of distribution derivative and $f \in C_0^1(0, 1)$. Hence, ϕ is a bounded operator from X to X and $(A + \phi)$ generates a C_0 semigroup T_t on X :

$$\tilde{T}_t x_0 = T_t x_0 + \int_0^t T_{t-s} \phi T_s x_0 ds$$

where T_t is given by (21) and

$$(T_t^1 x_{1,0})(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2e^{-n^2 \pi^2 t} \sin n\pi \xi \int_0^1 \sin n\pi y x_{1,0}(y) dy,$$

$$(T_t^2 x_{2,0})(\xi) = x_2(\xi - vt),$$

$$T_t^3 x_{3,0} = e^{A_2 t} x_{3,0}.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & T_t x_0 + \int_0^t T_{t-s} \phi T_s x_0 ds + \int_0^t T_{t-\tau} Du(\tau) d\tau + \\ & + \int_0^t \int_0^{t-\tau} T_{t-\tau-s} \phi T_s Du(\tau) ds d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor P. K. C. Wang for his guidance and suggestion.

References

- [1] Vinter, R. B., Semigroups on Product Spaces with Application to Initial Value Problems with Nonlocal Boundary Conditions. Proc. Second IFAC Symp. On Control of Distributed-Parameter Systems. Pergamon Press (1978), 91-98.
- [2] Zabczyk, J., On Semigroups Corresponding to non-local Boundary Conditions with Applications to Systems Theory. Control Theory Centre, University of Warwick, Report 49 (1976).
- [3] Zabczyk, J., A Semigroup Approach to Boundary-value Control. Proc. Second IFAC Symp. on Control of Distributed-Parameter Systems. Pergamon Press (1978), 99-107.
- [4] Zabczyk, J., On Decomposition of Generators. SIAM J Control And Optimization 16, 4 (1978). 523-534.

在乘积B空间上算子的分解及应用

赵 怡

(中山大学)

文中, 讨论了一个算子在巴拿赫乘积空间上的几种分解形式, 以及该算子在这些分解下产生一个 C_0 半群的条件, 从而给出了两个基本定理。此外, 还给出了一个例子说明这些定理的应用。

全国控制系统分析、综合与计算机辅助 设计学术会议在西安召开

由全国自动化学会控制理论专业委员会筹办的全国控制系统分析、综合与计算机辅助设计学术会议于1984年11月16日至11月21日在西安召开。会议由全国自动化学会秘书长秦化淑主持, 自动化学会副理事长疏松桂代表自动化学会致词。会议还特邀了参加1984年4月在布达佩斯举行的 IFAC 辨识与估计, 1983年7月在华沙举行的 IFAC 大系统会议的部分同志作了专题报告, 并由有关方面负责同志介绍了近期内将进行的国内外学术活动。接着, 会议分两大组进行: 一组是学术交流组, 交流论文共33篇。另一组是中国控制系统计算机辅助软件包(CCSCAD)联合设计组, 进行工作讨论, 初步确定 CCSCAD 软件包在 1985 年 12 月问世。会议期间, 与会代表还举行了多次研讨会, 探讨了自动控制在中国发展的特点和方向, 理科高等院校自动控制专业的教学等问题。与会代表一致认为, 近年我国自动控制事业有了很大发展, 在某些方面已经赶上了世界水平, 如线性系统理论等。但就整体来看, 尤其是应用, 尚有很大差距。代表们高度赞扬了党的经济体制改革政策, 坚信随着改革事业的不断发展, 我国的自动控制事业必将有较大发展。代表们还呼吁全国同行们把精力更多地投入到控制理论的应用上去, 为祖国的四个现代化事业作出更大的贡献。

(如虚)