A Theorem on Dynamic Feedback Linearization in R4 *

SUN Zhendong and XIA Xiaohua

(7th Research Division, Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics. Beijing, 100083, PRC)

Abstract: In this paper, we prove that invertible dynamic feedback linearizability is equivalent to linearizability by adding integrators for up to 4 dimensional affine nonlinear systems.

Key words; affine nonlinear systems; invertible feedback linearization; linearization by adding integrators

Consider an affine nonlinear system

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x)u_i = f(x) + G(x)u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
 (1)

with f(0) = 0 and rank G(0) = m.

The class of dynamic state feedback transformations are of the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w} = a(x, w) + B(x, w)v, & w \in \mathbb{R}^q, \quad a(0, 0) = 0, \\ u = \alpha(x, w) + \beta(x, w)v, & v \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \alpha(0, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where q is the order of the compensator. The extended system of (1) controlled by a dy-

namic compensator (2) can be written as (with
$$\overline{x} = (x, w)^{T}$$
 being the extended state)
$$\dot{\overline{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) + G(x)\alpha(x, w) \\ a(x, w) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} G(x)\beta(x, w) \\ B(x, w) \end{pmatrix} v = \overline{f}(\overline{x}) + \overline{G}(\overline{x})v. \tag{3}$$

If $u = \alpha(\bar{x}) + \beta(\bar{x})v$ are viewed as outputs for system (3), m characteristic indices $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ γ_m can be defined in the usual way^[1]:

$$\gamma_{j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \exists \ i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m, \text{ s.t. } \beta_{j,i}(\overline{x}) \neq 0, \\ \min\{r: \exists \ i, \text{ s.t. } L_{\overline{s}_{i}}L_{\overline{I}}^{r-1}\alpha_{j}(\overline{x}) \neq 0\}, & \beta_{j,i}(\overline{x}) = 0, \ \forall \ i. \end{cases}$$

Here we set min $\emptyset = +\infty$. Let

$$\delta_{j,i}(\overline{x}) = egin{cases} eta_{j,i}(\overline{x})\,, & \gamma_j = 0\,, \ L_{\overline{z}_i}L_J^{\gamma_j-1}lpha_j(\overline{x})\,, & \gamma_j > 0. \end{cases}$$

When all γ_j are finite the $m \times m$ matrix $D(\bar{x}) = (\delta_{j,i}(\bar{x}))$ is called the decoupling matrix of the compensator (2) for system (1). We call dynamic compensator (2) invertible for system (1) if rank D(0) = m.

The system (1) is said to be (locally) invertible dynamic feedback linearizable, if it can be transformed into a linear controllable system

^{*} This work was supported by NSF.

$$\dot{z} = Az + Bv, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+q}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^m \tag{4}$$

via invertible dynamic compensation (2) and extended state space diffeomorphism

$$z = \varphi(x, w), \quad \varphi(0, 0) = 0.$$
 (5)

A special class of dynamic compensators are of the following form^[2]:

$$u = \overline{\alpha}(x) + \overline{\beta}(x)\overline{u}, \quad \det \overline{\beta} \neq 0,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{u}_{1}^{(\mu_{1})} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{u}_{m}^{(\mu_{m})} \end{bmatrix} = \alpha(x, w) + \beta(x, w) \begin{bmatrix} v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{m} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

here $\mu_i \ge 0, 1 \le i \le m, \alpha(0,0) = 0, \beta(x,w)$ is of rank m around the origin, and

$$\overline{u}^{\mu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mu} \overline{u}}{\mathrm{d} t^{\mu}}, \quad w = (\overline{u}_1, \dots, \overline{u}_1^{(\mu_1)}, \dots, \overline{u}_m, \dots, \overline{u}_m^{(\mu_m)}).$$

We call system (1) is linearizable by adding integrators, if it can be changed into (4) via (6) and (5). It was studied in [2,3,4]; some sufficient conditions were given. A problem naturally arise; whether linearizability by adding integrators is implied by invertible dynamic state feedback linearizability or not? In this work, we show that the answer is positive for up to 4 dimensional systems.

2 Main result

Consider system (1) with n=4 and m=2.

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g_1(x)u_1(t) + g_2(x)u_2(t). \tag{7}$$

We assume that all functions under consideration are defined and analytic in an open neighborhood of the origin.

Proposition 1 If the nested distributions

$$\Delta_0 = \text{span}\{g_1, g_2\}, \quad \Delta_i = \Delta_{i-1} + ad_j^i \Delta_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (8)

satisfy following conditions around the origin:

- 1) Δ_i is involutive for $0 \le i \le 3$;
- 2) rank(Δ_3) = 4.

Then the system (7) is linearizable by adding integrators.

Proof With [1, Theorem 5. 2. 4] in mind, we need only to consider the following case:

$$rank(\Delta_0) = 2$$
, Δ_1 is not of constant rank, $rank(\Delta_2) = 4$. (9)

Hence without loss of generality, we may assume that rank $\{g_1, g_2, ad_f g_1\}$ has constant rank 3 at the origin.

Since Δ_0 is involutive and of constant rank, we can assume, up to some regular static state feedback and state change of coordinates, system (7) takes the form¹⁵¹:

$$\dot{x} = (u_1(t), u_2(t), a(x), b(x))^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

Denote det
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$
 by e . By (9) one has $e \neq 0$, $e(0) = 0$, and $(\frac{\partial a}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_2})(0) \neq 0$. Without

loss of generality, we assume that $\frac{\partial a}{\partial x_1} \neq 0$. Set

$$g_2'=g_2-rac{\dfrac{\partial a}{\partial x_2}}{\dfrac{\partial a}{\partial x_1}}g_1$$
 , we will always A_1

one may check that $ad_fg_2' = (\chi, 0, 0, e)^T$, here χ is some real-valued function.

Since span $\{g_1, g_2', ad_f g_1, ad_f g_2'\} = \Delta_1$ is involutive, together with the facts that the function e is analytic and e(0) = 0, one can deduce that $\frac{\partial e}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial e}{\partial x_2} = 0$, and there exist some real-value functions $p_i, q_i, i = 1, 2$, such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial x_1 \partial x_i} \\ \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial x_1 \partial x_i} \end{bmatrix} = p_i \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial x_1} \end{bmatrix} + q_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial x_1}, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x_2} + p_1 q_2 = \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial x_1} + p_2 q_1, \tag{11}$$

Consider the following partial differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_1}(x) = q_1(x) + p_1(x)r(x), \\ \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_2}(x) = q_2(x) + p_2(x)r(x), \\ r(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(12)

By (10) and (11), we get $\frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 r}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}$. Therefore equation (12) has (at least) one solution. Assume r_0 satisfy (12). Define $g_1' = g_1 - r_0 g_2'$, one can verify that span $\{g_1', g_2', ad_f g_1'\}$ is involutive and has constant rank 3, and span $\{g_1', ad_f g_1', g_2', ad_f^2 g_1', a_f' g_2'\}$ is involutive and has constant rank 4. Applying [4, Theorem 4.2], we know system (7) is linearizable by adding integrator of order 1 to the input channel controlled by g_2' . Q. E. D.

Theorem 2 The following statements are equivalent:

- i) System (7) is locally invertible feedback linearzable.
- ii) System (7) is locally linearizable by adding integrators.

Proof ii)⇒i) is obvious.

i) \Rightarrow ii): Suppose some dynamic compensator (2) be such that the closedloop system (3) is transformable indices by γ_1, γ_2 , and decoupling matrix by $D(\bar{x}) = (d_{ij})_{2 \times 2}$. Let $y = \alpha(x, w) + \beta(x, w)v$. Compute^[6]:

$$y_j^{(i)} = y_j^i(x, w) \quad i = 0, \dots, \gamma_j,$$

$$y_j^{(\gamma_j)} = y_j^{(\gamma_j)}(x, w, v) = \varphi_j(x, w) + d_j(x, w)v,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Define invertible feedback transformation

$$v = D^{-1}(\bar{v} - \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}). \tag{13}$$

It is obvious that the resulted system of (3) controlled by (13) is invertible feedback linearizable.

Without loss of generality, we assume $\gamma_1 \geqslant \gamma_i \geqslant 1, l=1$ or 2. It can be verified that the vectors $\{\frac{\partial y_j^{(i)}}{\partial w}, j=1, l; i=1, \cdots, \gamma_j-1\}$ are linear independent^[7]. Hence we can define state space transformation

$$\overline{w}^{j} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{j}^{0} \\ \vdots \\ y_{j-1}^{\nu_{j-1}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, l; \quad \overline{w} = \overline{w} (x, w)$$

$$(14)$$

such that $\{\overline{w}^j: j=1,l;\overline{w}\}$ together with x forms a diffemorphism of (x,w).

Simple computation shows that under transformation (13) and (14), system (3) will be changed into

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{\overline{w}} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{w} \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} f + \sum_{i=1}^{t} g_{i} \overline{w}_{1}^{i} \\ A^{c} \overline{w} \\ \bar{a}(x, \overline{w}, \overline{w}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=t+1}^{2} g_{i} \overline{v}_{i} \\ B^{c} \overline{v} \\ \bar{b}(x, \overline{w}, \overline{w}) \overline{v} \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

where (A^c, B^c) is the Brunovsky pair with controllability indices (γ_1, γ_t) .

Note that \overline{w} does not appear in the expression of \dot{x} and $\dot{\overline{w}}$. Consider the following system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \frac{\dot{w}}{\bar{w}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f + \sum_{i=1}^{l} g_i \overline{w}_1^i \\ A^c \overline{w} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=l+1}^{l} g_i \overline{v}_i \\ B^c \overline{v} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

By the fact that (15) is invertible feedback linearizable and [1, Theorem 5.2.4], one may verify that system (16) satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 1. Hence (16) is linearizable by adding integrators. Note that (16) is a prolongation of (7), it follows from [2] that (7) is linearizable by adding integrators. Q. E. D.

Remark Theorem 2, together with [4, Theorem 2, 2, Corollary 4, 3], shows that locally invertible feedback linearizability is equivalent to locally linearizability by adding integrators for up to 4 dimensional systems. Note that if Proposition 1 holds for general case $(n \ge 4, m \ge 2)$, then by the proof of Theorem 1 we know Theorem 1 also holds for general case. But whether this is true or not remains unknown.

References

- [1] Isidori, A.. Nonlinear Control Systems. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989
- [2] Cheng, D.Z.. Geometric Theory of Nonlinear Systems. Beijing: Academic Press. 1988
- [3] Charlet, B., Levine, J. and Marino, R.. On Dynamic Feedback Linearization. Sys. Contr. Lett. 1989.13;143-151
- [4] Charlet, B., Levine, J. and Marino, R.. Sufficient Conditions for Dynamic State Feedback Linearization. SIAM J. Control Optim., 1991, 29:38-57
- [5] Marino, R., On the Largest Feedback Linearizable Subsystem, Sys. Contr. Lett., 1986,6,345-351
- [6] Di Benedetto, M. D., Grizzle, J. W. and Moog, C. H., Rank Invariants of Nonlinear Systems. SIAM J. Control Optim., 1989,27:658-672
- [7] Xia, X. H. and Gao, W. B.. The Minimal Order Dynamic Decoupling Problem of Nonlinear Systems. Acta Scientia Sinica, 1989,32:1107-1112

R⁴上关于动态反馈线性化的一个定理 wolf and stage

孙振华 夏小华

(北京航空航天大学第七研究室•北京,100083)

关键词: 仿射非线性系统; 可逆反馈线性化; 加积分器反馈线性化

本文作者简介

孙振东 1968 年生. 1990 年毕业于青岛海洋大学应用数学系,1993 年于厦门大学系统科学系获硕士学位,现为北京航空航天大学博士研究生,IEE 学生会员,研究方向为非线性系统的控制综合。

夏小华 1963 年生. 北京航空航天大学七研教授, 博士生导师, 研究兴趣包括非线性控制系统的反馈设计理论.

Note that it does not appear in the expression of x and in. Consider the fullwing one

terms there is a majorant very and the state of the state of the production of the state of the

ocally invertible feedback linearizability is edited to locally linearizabile; by adding a egrators for up to 4 dimensional hyptarias. Note that if Proposition 7 hadds for green in the law and a second in the law and the proof of Theorem 1 was known Theorem 2 had been by the proof of Theorem 1 was known Theorem 2 had been been second to be a second