

Stability of High Dimension Interval Dynamic Systems

NIAN Xiaohong

(Department of Mathematics, Tianshui Teacher's College • Gansu Tianshui, 741001, PRC)

Abstract: In this paper, method of vector Lyapunov function is used to analyse the asymptotic stability of large-scale interval dynamic systems, some sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability of interval dynamic systems are obtained.

Key words: interval matrix; vector Lyapunov function; asymptotic stability

1 Intrduction and Lamma

Recently, there have been a number of significant advances in the stability of interval dynamic systems^[1~6], however, most of these results are applicable to lower dimension systems, the present paper presents some sufficient conditions for stability of interval systems, especially for high orders.

Let $R^{m \times n}$ be real $m \times n$ matrices space, $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}, B = (b_{ij})_{m \times n}, C = (c_{ij})_{m \times n} \in R^{m \times n}$, the matrices set

$$G[B, C] = \{A \mid b_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq c_{ij}; i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is called $m \times n$ interval matrix, set

$$\begin{aligned} H[B, C] &= \{A \mid a_{ij} = b_{ij} \text{ or } a_{ij} = c_{ij}; i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ &= \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}, \quad (k \leq 2^m) \end{aligned}$$

is called the vertexes set of $G[B, C]$.

Let G be a set of $m \times n$ matrices, denote matrices

$$S(G) = (s_{ij})_{m \times n}, \quad T(G) = (t_{ij})_{m \times n},$$

where

$$s_{ij} = \max\{|a_{ij}| \mid A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \in G\}; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} \max\{|a_{ij}| \mid A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \in G; \text{ while } i = j\}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \\ \max\{|a_{ij}| \mid A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \in G; \text{ while } i \neq j\}, & \end{cases}$$

Let $x_1 = \text{col}(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, \dots, x_m^{(1)}), x_2 = \text{col}(x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}, \dots, x_n^{(2)}), (|x_1|) = \text{col}(|x_1^{(1)}|, |x_2^{(1)}|, \dots, |x_m^{(1)}|), (|x_2|) = \text{col}(|x_1^{(2)}|, |x_2^{(2)}|, \dots, |x_n^{(2)}|)$, then we have

Lemma 1 Suppose $A \in G$ is a $m \times n$ matrix, then

$$x_1^T A x_2 \leq (|x_1|)^T S(G) (|x_2|).$$

Proof

$$x_1^T A x_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i^{(1)} x_j^{(2)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}| |x_i^{(1)}| |x_j^{(2)}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} |x_i^{(1)}| |x_j^{(2)}|$$

$$= (|x_1|)^T S(G)(|x_2|).$$

Lemma 2 Suppose $A \in G$ is a $m \times m$ matrix, then

$$x_1^T A x_1 \leq (|x_1|)^T T(G)(|x_1|).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof } x_1^T A x_1 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ii} x_i^{(1)2} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{i \neq j=1}^m |a_{ij}| |x_i^{(1)}| |x_j^{(1)}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ii} |x_i^{(1)}|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{i \neq j=1}^m t_{ij} |x_i^{(1)}| |x_j^{(1)}| \\ &= (|x_1|)^T T(G)(|x_1|). \end{aligned}$$

Let $P = (p_{ij})_{m \times m}$ be a $m \times m$ symmetric matrix, consider sets

$$G_P[B, C] = \{PA \mid A \in G[B, C]\}, H_P[B, C] = \{PA \mid A \in H[B, C]\},$$

$$G_P^*[B, C] = \{A^T P + PA \mid A \in G[B, C]\}, H_P^*[B, C] = \{A^T P + PA \mid A \in H[B, C]\}.$$

Lemma 3 Suppose $G[B, C]$ is $m \times n$ interval matrix, then

$$S(G_P[B, C]) = S(H_P[B, C]).$$

Proof On the one hand, since $H_P[B, C] \subseteq G_P[B, C]$, therefore

$$S(G_P[B, C]) \geq S(H_P[B, C]) \quad (1.1)$$

on the other hand, by property of closed convex polygon^[6], for any $A \in G[B, C]$, there exist numbers $\alpha_i \geq 0 (i=1, 2, \dots, k)$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A_i = A, \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i = 1.$$

Let $PA = (a_{ij}^*)_{m \times n}, A_l = (a_{ij}^{(l)})_{m \times n}, PA_l = (a_{ij}^{*(l)})_{m \times n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij}^*| &= \left| \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l \left(\sum_{s=1}^n p_{is} a_{sj}^{(l)} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l \left(\sum_{s=1}^n p_{is} a_{sj}^{(l)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{s=1}^n p_{is} a_{sj}^{(l)} \right| \mid l=1, 2, \dots, k \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{s=1}^n p_{is} a_{sj}^{(l)} \right| \mid l=1, 2, \dots, k \right\} = \max \{ |a_{ij}^{(l)}| \mid l=1, 2, \dots, k \}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$S(G_P[B, C]) \leq S(H_P[B, C]). \quad (1.2)$$

From (1.1), (1.2), we have

$$S(G_P[B, C]) = S(H_P[B, C]).$$

Lemma 4 Suppose $G[B, C]$ is a $m \times m$ interval matrix, then

$$T(G_P^*[B, C]) = T(H_P^*[B, C]).$$

This Lemma can be proved in a similar way as Lemma 3. As a matter of fact, Lemma 3, Lemma 4 have shown method of calculation $S(G_P^*[B, C])$ and $T(G_P^*[B, C])$.

Lemma 5^[7] If B is $n \times n$ matrix, such that $b_{ii} < 0, b_{ij} \geq 0, i \neq j$; assume that $x(t; x_0, t_0), y(t; y_0, t_0)$ are solutions of $\dot{x} \leq Bx$ and $\dot{y} = By$, there $x_0 = y_0$, then for any $t \geq t_0$,

$$x(t; x_0, t_0) \leq y(t; y_0, t_0).$$

2 Stability of General Interval Dynamic Systems

Consider interval dynamic system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = Ax \quad (2.1)$$

where $x = \text{col}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $G[B, C]$ is a $n \times n$ interval matrix, $A \in G[B, C]$ is a $n \times n$ matrix.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose P is a $n \times n$ real positive definite symmetric matrix, such that $A_l^T P + PA_l$ is negative definite, $A_l \in H[B, C]$; $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$; then, (2.1) is asymptotic stable and there exists a real number $c > 0$, such that

$$\frac{d}{dx}[x^T P x] \Big|_{(2.1)} \leq -c \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right).$$

Proof Let $V(x) = x^T P x$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}[x^T P x] \Big|_{(2.1)} &= x^T [A^T P + PA] x \\ &= x^T \left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l A_l \right) P + P \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l A_l \right) \right] x \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l [x^T (A_l^T P + PA_l) x], \end{aligned}$$

thus, if $A_l^T P + PA_l$ is negative definite, there exist real numbers $c_l > 0$; $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$; such that

$$x^T (A_l^T P + PA_l) x \leq -c_l \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right); \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Let $c = \min\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$, then

$$\frac{dV(x)}{dx} \Big|_{(2.1)} \leq -c \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right),$$

therefore, (2.1) is asymptotic stable.

Theorem 2.2 Suppose P is $n \times n$ real positive definite symmetric matrix, such that $T(H_p^*[B, C])$ is negative definite, then, (2.1) is asymptotic stable and there exists a real number $c > 0$, such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x^T P x] \Big|_{(2.1)} \leq -c \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right).$$

This theorem can be proved in a similar way as theorem 2.1.

Remark If we select $A_0 \in G[B, C]$ properly, then the matrix P mentioned in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2 is determined by matrix equation $A_0^T P + PA_0 = -2I$.

3 Stability of Large-Scale Interval Dynamic Systems

At first, we decompose system (2.1) as follow

$$\frac{dx^{(i)}}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^r A_{ij} x^{(j)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $x^{(i)} = \text{col}(x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_{n_i}^{(i)})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$; $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r = n$, $A_{ij} \in G[B_{ij}, C_{ij}]$,

$G[B_{ij}, C_{ij}]$ is an $n_i \times n_j$ interval matrix.

Consider subsystems

$$\frac{dx^{(i)}}{dt} = A_{ii}x^{(i)}, \quad (3.2)$$

$A_{ii} \in G[B_{ii}, C_{ii}]$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, r$) are $n_i \times n_i$ interval matrix, suppose there exist positive definite functions $V_i(x^{(i)}) = x^{(i)T}P_i x^{(i)}$, where P_i are $n_i \times n_i$ symmetric matrices, such that

$$1) \quad a_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_j^{(i)2} \right) \leq v_i(x^{(i)}) \leq b_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_j^{(i)2} \right),$$

$$2) \quad \frac{dv_i(x^{(i)})}{dt} \Big|_{(3.2)} \leq -c_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_j^{(i)2} \right],$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j can be determined by the way of introduced in 2.

Consider vector Lyapunov function $V(x) = \text{col}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\nu_1}{dt} \Big|_{(3.1)} &= (A_{11}x^{(1)} + A_{12}x^{(2)} + \dots + A_{1r}x^{(r)})^T P_1 x^{(1)} \\ &\quad + x^{(1)T} P_1 (A_{11}x^{(1)} + A_{12}x^{(2)} + \dots + A_{1r}x^{(r)}) \\ &\leq -c_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j^{(1)2} \right) + x^{(2)T} P_1 A_{12}^T x^{(1)} + x^{(1)T} P_1 A_{12}^T x^{(2)} \\ &\quad + \dots + x^{(r)T} P_1 A_{1r}^T x^{(1)} + x^{(1)T} P_1 A_{1r} x^{(r)} \end{aligned}$$

since P_1 is a symmetric matrix, we have $x^{(k)T} A_{1k}^T P_1 x^{(1)} = x^{(1)T} P_1 A_{1k} x^{(k)}$, $k=1, 2, \dots, r$.

$$\frac{d\nu_1}{dt} \Big|_{(3.1)} \leq -c_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j^{(1)2} \right) + 2x^{(2)T} P_1 A_{12}^T x^{(1)} + \dots + 2x^{(r)T} P_1 A_{1r}^T x^{(1)}$$

form Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\nu_1}{dt} \Big|_{(3.1)} &\leq -c_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} x_j^{(1)2} \right) + 2(|x^{(1)}|)^T S(G_P[B_{12}, C_{12}]) (|x^{(2)}|) + \dots \\ &\quad + 2(|x^{(1)}|)^T S(G_P[B_{1r}, C_{1r}]) (|x^{(r)}|) \\ &= -c_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i^{(1)2} \right) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} S_{1j}^{(12)} |x_1^{(1)}| |x_j^{(2)}| + \dots \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} S_{nj}^{(12)} |x_n^{(1)}| |x_j^{(2)}| + \dots \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_r} S_{1j}^{(1r)} |x_1^{(1)}| |x_j^{(r)}| + \dots + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} S_{nj}^{(1r)} |x_n^{(1)}| |x_j^{(r)}| \end{aligned}$$

(where $S(G_P[B_{1k}, C_{1k}]) = (S_{ij}^{(1k)})_{n_1 \times n_k}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq -\frac{c_1 n_2}{n - n_1} x_1^{(1)2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} S_{1j}^{(12)} |x_1^{(1)}| |x_j^{(2)}| + \dots \\ &\quad - \frac{c_2 n_2}{n - n_1} x_1^{(1)2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} S_{nj}^{(12)} |x_n^{(1)}| |x_j^{(2)}| + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{c_1 n_r}{n - n_1} x_1^{(1)^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} S_{1j}^{(r)} |x_1^{(1)}| |x_j^{(r)}| + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1 n_r}{n - n_1} x_1^{(1)^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_r} S_{n_1 j}^{(r)} |x_{n_1}^{(1)}| |x_j^{(r)}| \\
\leq & - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_1^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{11}^{(12)^2} x_1^{(2)^2} \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_1^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{12}^{(12)^2} x_2^{(2)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_1^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 1}^{(12)^2} x_{n_1}^{(2)^2} + \dots, \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 1}^{(12)^2} x_1^{(2)^2} \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 2}^{(12)^2} x_2^{(2)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 n_2}^{(12)^2} x_{n_2}^{(2)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{11}^{(1r)^2} x_1^{(r)^2} \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_1^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{12}^{(1r)^2} x_2^{(r)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_1^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{1n}^{(1r)^2} x_{n_r}^{(r)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 1}^{(1r)^2} x_1^{(r)^2} \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 2}^{(1r)^2} x_2^{(r)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 n_2}^{(1r)^2} x_{n_2}^{(r)^2} + \dots \\
& - \frac{c_1}{2(n - n_1)} x_{n_1}^{(1)^2} + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} S_{n_1 n_r}^{(1r)^2} x_{n_r}^{(r)^2} + \dots \\
= & - \frac{c_1}{2} (x_1^{(1)^2} + x_2^{(1)^2} + \dots + x_{n_1}^{(1)^2}) + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{ii}^{(12)^2} x_i^{(2)^2} \right. \\
& \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{i2}^{(12)^2} x_2^{(2)^2} + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{in_2}^{(12)^2} x_{n_2}^{(2)^2} \right] + \dots \\
& + \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{i1}^{(1r)^2} x_1^{(r)^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{i2}^{(1r)^2} x_2^{(r)^2} + \dots + \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{in_r}^{(1r)^2} x_{n_r}^{(r)^2} \right] \\
\leq & - \frac{c_1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i^{(1)^2} \right) + L_{12} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i^{(2)^2} \right) + \dots + L_{1r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_r} x_i^{(r)^2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L_{12} = \max \left\{ \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{ii}^{(12)^2} \right), \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{i2}^{(12)^2} \right), \dots, \frac{n - n_1}{c_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{in_2}^{(12)^2} \right) \dots \right\},$$

$$L_{1r} = \max\left\{\frac{n-n_1}{c_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{i1}^{(1r)}\right)^2, \frac{n-n_1}{c_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{i2}^{(1r)}\right)^2, \dots, \frac{n-n_1}{c_1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} S_{in_r}^{(1r)}\right)^2, \dots\right\},$$

we have

$$\frac{dv_1}{dt}|_{(3.1)} \leq -\frac{c_1}{2b_1}v_1 + \frac{L_{12}}{a_2}v_2 + \dots + \frac{L_{1r}}{a_r}v_r,$$

by same way, we can obtain:

$$\frac{dv_2}{dt}|_{(3.1)} \leq \frac{L_{21}}{a_1}v_1 - \frac{c_2}{2b_2}v_2 + \dots + \frac{L_{2r}}{a_r}v_r,$$

...

$$\frac{dv_r}{dt}|_{(3.1)} \leq \frac{L_{r1}}{a_1}v_1 + \frac{L_{r2}}{a_2}v_2 + \dots - \frac{c_r}{2b_r}v_r$$

denote matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{c_1}{2b_1} & \frac{L_{12}}{a_2} & \dots & \frac{L_{1r}}{a_r} \\ \frac{L_{21}}{a_1} & -\frac{c_2}{2b_2} & \dots & \frac{L_{2r}}{a_r} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{L_{r1}}{a_1} & \frac{L_{r2}}{a_2} & \dots & -\frac{c_r}{2b_r} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the following equation

$$\frac{dv^*}{dt} = Mv^*$$

(where $v^* = (v_1^*, v_2^*, \dots, v_r^*)^T$). This is an ordinary differential equation, if it's coefficient matrix is asymptotic stable, then from Lemma 5 we can have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_1^* = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_2^* = \dots = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v_r^* = 0$$

so we have:

Theorem 3.1 if there exist positive definitive quadric $v_k (k=1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that

$$1) a_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} x_i^{(k)} \right)^2 \leq v_k \leq b_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} x_i^{(k)} \right)^2,$$

$$2) \frac{dv_k}{dt}|_{(3.2)} \leq -c_k (a_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} x_i^{(k)} \right)^2,$$

3) matrix M is asymptotic stable

then, system (3.1) is asymptotic stable.

References

- [1] Xu, D. Y.. Simple Criteria for Stability of Interval Matrices. Int. J. Control., 1985, 41: 289—299
- [2] Zhou, C. S. and Deng, J. L.. The Stability of the Grey System. Int. J. Control., 1986, 43: 313—320

- [3] Heinen, J. A.. Sufficient Conditions for Stability of Interval Matrices. Int. J. Control., 1984, 39: 1323—1328
- [4] Liao, X. X.. Stability of Interval Matrices. Int. J. Control., 1987, 45(1): 203—210
- [5] Soh, C. B.. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for Stability of Symmetric Interval Matrices. Int. J. Control., 1991, 51: 243—248
- [6] Dennis S. B.. Some Open Problems in Matrix Theory Arising in Linear Systems and Control. Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 1992, 162/162: 490—432
- [7] Bailey, F. N.. The Application of Lyapunov's Second Method to Inter-Connected Systems. SAIM. J. Control ser. A, 1965, 3: 442

高维区间动力系统的稳定性

年晓红

(天水师范高等专科学校数学系·甘肃,741001)

摘要:本文用向量 Lyapunov 函数方法讨论了高维区间动力大系统的渐近稳定性,得到了区间动力系统渐近稳定的若干充分条件。

关键词:区间矩阵;向量 Lyapunov 函数;渐近稳定性

本文作者简介

年晓红 1965 年生,1985 年毕业于西北师范大学数学系,1992 年在山东大学获得硕士学位,现为甘肃天水师范高等专科学校数学系讲师,主要研究方向为区间动力系统的鲁棒稳定性。