Design of Global Robust Self-Tuning Controller for Multi-Input Uncertain Nonlinear Systems

LU Souyin, JING Yuanwei and LIN Xiaoping (Department of Automatic Control, Northeastern University Shenyang, 110006, PRC)

Abstract: In this paper we consider the robust stabilization problems of multi-input nonlinear systems with the time-varying uncertainties shich enter linearly, and give the robust stabilizing self-tuning controller.

Key words: robust stabilization: uncertain nonlinear systems; robust controller

1 Introduction

Consider uncertain nonlinear system:

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{2} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l}), \\ & \cdots \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l+1} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l+1}(2,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l+1} = z_{j}^{l+2} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(2,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l+1} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(2,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l+1} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l+1} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \dots, \xi_{s-1}^{l-1}, \xi_{s}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(\xi_{1}^{l+1}, \xi_{2}^{l}), \\ & \sum_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l} = z_{j}^{l} + \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\phi_{j}^{l}(1,1); \dot{z}_{j}^{l}$$

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_{j}^{t_{1}^{\prime}-1}+1(s,2): \dot{z}_{j}^{t_{2}^{\prime}-1}+1 &= \dot{z}_{j}^{t_{2}^{\prime}-1}+2 + \theta^{T}(t) \phi_{j}^{t_{2}^{\prime}-1}+1(\xi_{1}^{t_{1}^{\prime}-1}+1, \xi_{2}^{t_{2}^{\prime}-1}+1, \cdots, \xi_{s-1}^{t_{s-1}^{\prime}-1}+1, \xi_{s}^{1}), \\
\sum_{j}^{t_{2}^{\prime}}(s,2): \dot{z}_{j}^{t_{2}^{\prime}} &= v_{j} + \theta^{T}(t) \phi_{j}^{t_{2}^{\prime}}(\xi_{1}^{t_{1}^{\prime}}, \xi_{2}^{t_{2}^{\prime}}, \cdots, \xi_{s-1}^{t_{s-1}^{\prime}-1}, \xi_{s}^{t_{s}^{\prime}}), \\
j &= r_{1} + 1, \cdots, r_{2}; \\
\begin{cases}
\sum_{j}^{1}(s,s): \dot{z}_{j}^{1} &= z_{j}^{2} + \theta^{T}(t) \phi_{1j}(\xi_{1}^{t_{1}^{\prime}-1}+1, \xi_{2}^{t_{1}^{\prime}-1}+1, \cdots, \xi_{s-1}^{t_{s-1}^{\prime}-1}, \xi_{s}^{1}), \\
\sum_{j}^{t_{s}^{\prime}}(s,s): \dot{z}_{j}^{t_{s}^{\prime}} &= v_{j} + \theta^{T}(t) \phi_{j}^{t_{s}^{\prime}}(\xi_{1}^{t_{1}^{\prime}}, \cdots, \xi_{s-1}^{t_{s-1}^{\prime}-1}, \xi_{s}^{t_{s}^{\prime}}), \\
j &= r_{s-1} + 1, \cdots, r_{s}
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $\xi_j^1 = (z_{r_{j-1+1}}^1, \cdots, z_{r_j}^1)^T$, $\xi_j^i = (z_{r_{j-1+1}}^1, \cdots, z_{r_j}^1, \cdots, z_{r_{j-1+1}}^i, \cdots, z_{r_{j+1}}^i)^T$, $i = 2, 3, \cdots, t_j^1$, $t_j^1 + 1, \cdots, t_j^s$; $t_j^i = t_j^{i-1} + t_j^i$, $j \leqslant i = 1, \cdots, s$, $t_i^i = \rho_{r_i} - \rho_{r_{i+1}}$, $i = 1, \cdots, s-1$, $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \cdots = \rho_{r_1}$, $\rho_{r_{i+1}} = \cdots = \rho_{r_2} > \cdots > \rho_{r_{i-1}+1} = \cdots = \rho_{r_i}$, $\rho_{r_i} = m$; $\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \cdots + \rho_{r_i} = n$. $\rho_1 = 0$, $\rho_2 = 0$, ρ_2

Necesary and sufficient condtions for bringing a general uncertain nonlinear systems into the form (1) via a nonlinear coordinate change are given in [5], and which of the single-input case is given in [2].

Within the last few years, there has been an increasing interest in the problem of the robust control^[1]. In the special case of time-varying disturbance or parameter, few results are available ([2]) for stabilizing the uncertain nonlinear systems. Marino and Tomei^[2] studied the robust stabilization problem of feedback linearizable time-varying uncertain single-input nonlinear systems $[\dot{x} = f(x) + q(x, \theta(t)) + g(x)u]$. They give a local (global) robust stabilizing state feedback controller under three assumptions: 1) the nominal system (f, g) is local (global) feedback linearizable; 2) the uncertain vector $q(x, \theta(t))$ satisfies coordinate-free triangularity condition; 3) the unknown vector θ takes the values in a known compact set. But they only discussed the feedback linearizable uncertain nonlinear systems with signle-input.

Now the problem in question is to design a smooth state feedback controller, so that the closed-loop system is globally asymptotically stable at the origin for every $\theta(t)$, that is, the global robust stabilization problem.

2 Main Results

In this section we shall discuss the robust stabilization of the multi-input nonlinear system. First we give the following lemma:

Lemma 1 For the system $\Sigma_j^1(1,1), \dots, \Sigma_j^{l_1}(1,1)$ (defined as (1), $j=1,\dots,r_1$ with inputs $v_j=z_j^{l_1+1}$. if Ω is a (unkown) compact set, then there exists a global state feedback self-tuning controller such that the closed loop system is globally asymptotically stable at the origin for any $\theta \in \Omega$.

Proof First let us construct the Lyapunov function of each subsystem $\Sigma_j^h(1,1)$.

Step 1 (1, 1) Let $\bar{z}_j^1 = z_j^1$ and define the Lyapunov function $V_j^1(1,1) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}_j^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\mu}_j^1)^2$, where $\bar{\mu}_j^1 = \mu_j^1 - \hat{\mu}_j^1$, μ_j^1 is a suitable constant, $\hat{\mu}_j^1$ is a function of $\bar{\xi}^1$ yet to be determined, $\bar{\xi}^1 = (\bar{z}_1^1, \dots, \bar{z}_{r_1}^1)^T$. Then the time derivative of the Lyapunov function is

$$V_{j}^{1}(1,1) = z_{j}^{1}(z_{j}^{2} - z_{j}^{2*}) + z_{j}^{1}(z_{j}^{2*} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{1}) - \dot{\beta}_{j}^{1}(\mu_{j}^{1} - \hat{\beta}_{j}^{1}).$$

Since $\phi_{j,i}^1$ is a smooth function of $\bar{\xi}^1$ and $\phi_{j,i}^1(0,\dots,0)=0$, one can write ([3]):

$$\phi_{j,i}^1 = \sum_{k=1}^{r_1} \bar{z}_k^1 \phi_{j,i}^{1,k}(\bar{z}_1^1, \dots, \bar{z}_{r_1}^1).$$

where $\psi_{j-i}^{1.k}$ is a continuous function, however, there exists a smooth function $\alpha_j^1(\bar{z}_1^1, \dots, \bar{z}_{r_1}^1)$ such that

$$\mid \psi_{j,i}^{1,k} \mid \leqslant \frac{1}{r_1} \alpha_j^1.$$

In addition, since $\theta \in \Omega$, a compact set, there exists a suitable (may be unknow) positive real μ_1^1 such that

$$\mid \theta_i \mid \leq \sqrt{\mu_j^1}/p$$
.

Therefore, one can obtain

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\theta_{i}\phi_{j,i}^{1} \mid \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{p}\theta_{i}\sum_{k=1}^{r_{1}}+\bar{z}_{k}^{1} \mid \mid \psi_{j,i}^{1.k} \mid \leqslant \|\bar{\xi}^{1}\| \alpha_{j}^{1}\sqrt{\mu_{j}^{1}}.$$

By letting

$$\bar{z}_{j}^{2} = z_{j}^{2} - z_{j}^{2*}, \quad z_{j}^{2*} = -k_{j}^{1}\bar{z}_{j}^{1} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{z}_{j}^{1}(\alpha_{j}^{1})^{2}\hat{\mu}_{j}^{1},$$

$$\dot{\hat{\mu}}_{j}^{1} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{z}_{j}^{1})^{2}(\alpha_{j}^{1})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}$$
(2)

one can get

$$V_{j}^{1}(1,1) \leqslant \bar{z}_{j}^{1}\bar{z}_{j}^{2} - k_{j}^{1}(\bar{z}_{j}^{1})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} (\bar{z}_{i}^{1})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}.$$

$$(3)$$

Step 2(1,1) Define the Lyapunov function $V_j^2(1,1) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}_j^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\mu}_j^2)^2$, where $\mu_j^2 = \mu_j^2 - \mu_j^2$, μ_j^2 is a suitable constant, $\bar{\mu}_j^2$ is a function of $\bar{\xi}^2$ yet to be determined, $\bar{\xi}^2 = (\bar{z}_1^1, \dots, \bar{z}_{r_1}^1, \bar{z}_1^2, \dots, \bar{z}_{r_2}^2)^T$. Then the time derivative of the Lyapunov function is

$$\dot{V}_{j}^{2}(1,1) = \bar{z}_{j}^{2}(z_{j}^{3} - z_{j}^{3*}) + \bar{z}_{j}^{2}[\bar{z}_{j}^{3*} + \sum_{i=1}^{P} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{1}] - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{2*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{1}} z_{j}^{2} \\
- \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{2*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{P} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{2*}}{\partial \mu_{j}^{1}} \dot{\rho}_{j}^{1}] - \dot{\mu}_{j}^{2}(\mu_{j}^{2} - \mu_{j}^{2}).$$

Since $\phi_{j,i}^2$ is a smooth function of $\bar{\xi}^2$ and $\phi_{j,i}^2(0,\dots,0)=0$ thus one can write ([3]):

$$\phi_{j..i}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{k=1}^2 \overline{z}_j^k \overline{\psi}_{j..i}^{2..k}(\bar{\xi}^2),$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}\theta_{i}\phi_{j,i}^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}}\frac{\partial z_{j}^{2*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{1}}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\theta_{i}\phi_{j,i}^{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\theta_{i}\sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}}\sum_{k=1}^{2}\bar{z}_{j}^{k}\bar{\psi}_{j,i}^{2,k}(\bar{\xi}^{2}).$$

where $\psi_{j,i}^{2,k}$ is a continuous function, As $\theta\in\Omega$, there exist smooth function $\alpha_{j,i}^2(\bar{\xi}^2)$ such that

$$\mid \psi_{j,i}^{2,k} \mid \leqslant \frac{1}{2r_1} \alpha_j^2$$

and a suitable (unknown) constant μ_i^2 such that

$$\mid \theta_i \mid \leq \frac{\sqrt{\mu_j^2}}{p}, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Therefore, one can obtain

$$|\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{2}^{*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{1}| \leqslant ||\bar{\xi}^{2}|| \alpha_{j}^{2} \sqrt{\mu_{j}^{2}}.$$

By letting $\bar{z}_j^3 = z_j^3 - z_j^{3*}$,

$$z_{j}^{3*} = -\bar{z}_{j}^{1} - k_{j}^{2}\bar{z}_{j}^{2} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{z}_{j}^{2}(\alpha_{j}^{2})^{2}\mu_{j}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{2*}}{\partial \bar{\mu}_{j}^{1}}\dot{\mu}_{j}^{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{2*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{1}}\bar{z}_{j}^{2},$$

$$\dot{\mu}_{j}^{2} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{z}_{j}^{2})^{2}(\alpha_{j}^{2})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}$$
(4)

one can get

$$\dot{V}_{j}^{2}(1,1) \leqslant \bar{z}_{j}^{2} \bar{z}_{j}^{3} - \bar{z}_{j}^{1} \bar{z}_{j}^{2} - k_{j}^{2} (\bar{z}_{j}^{2})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} (\bar{z}_{i}^{k})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}.$$
 (5)

Step $t_1^1(1,1)$ Similarly to Step 2(1,1), assume that we have defined the Lyapunov functions $V_j^h(1,1)$, $h=1,\cdots,t_1^1-1,j=1,\cdots,r_1$, and proved that

$$\dot{V}_{j}^{h}(1,1) \leqslant \bar{z}_{j}^{h}\bar{z}_{j}^{h+1} - \bar{z}_{j}^{h-1}\bar{z}_{j}^{h} - k_{j}^{h}(\bar{z}_{j}^{h})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{h} (\bar{z}_{i}^{k})^{2}, \ j = 1, \dots, r_{1}, \ h = 1, \dots, t_{1}^{1} - 1$$

$$(6)$$

where $\bar{z}_j^0 = 0$, $\bar{z}_j^1 = z_j^1$, and

$$\bar{z}_{j}^{h+1} = z_{j}^{h+1} - z_{j}^{(h+1)*},$$

$$z_{j}^{(h+1)*} = -\bar{z}_{j}^{(h-1)} - k_{j}^{h}\bar{z}_{j}^{h} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{z}_{j}^{h}(\alpha_{j}^{h})^{2}\hat{\mu}_{j}^{h} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}}\sum_{r=1}^{h-1}\frac{\partial z_{j}^{h*}}{\partial \hat{\mu}_{j}^{r}}\hat{\mu}_{j}^{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}}\sum_{r=1}^{h-1}\frac{\partial z_{j}^{h*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{r}}\bar{z}_{j}^{r+1},$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{j}^{h} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{z}_{j}^{h})^{2}(\alpha_{j}^{h})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}, \quad h = 1, \dots, t_{1}^{1} - 1.$$

$$(7)$$

Now define the Lyapunov function $V_{j^1}^{i^1}(1,1) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}_{j^1}^{i^1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\mu}_{j^1}^{i^1})^2$, where $\bar{\mu}_{j^1}^{i^1} = \mu_{j^1}^{i^1} - \hat{\mu}_{j^1}^{i^1}$, then the time derivative of the Lyapunov function

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}(1,1) &= \bar{z}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}} \bar{z}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}} - \dot{\mu}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}(\mu_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}} - \hat{\mu}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}) \\ &= \bar{z}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}(z_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}+1} - z_{j}^{(t_{1}^{1}+1)*}) + \bar{z}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}[z_{j}^{(t_{1}^{1}+1)*} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \theta_{j,j}^{t_{1}^{1}} - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}^{1}} \sum_{r=1}^{t_{1}^{1}-1} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{r_{1}}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \theta_{j,i}^{t_{1}^{1}-1} \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}^{1}} \sum_{r=1}^{t_{1}^{1}-1} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}*}}{\partial \bar{\mu}_{i}^{r}} \bar{z}_{j}^{r_{1}+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}^{1}} \sum_{r=1}^{t_{1}^{1}-1} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}*}}{\partial \bar{\mu}_{i}^{r}} \dot{\mu}_{j}^{r_{1}^{r_{1}^{1}}} - \dot{\mu}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}(\mu_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}} - \bar{\mu}_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}). \end{split}$$

Since $\phi_{j,i}^{i_1}$ is a smooth function, $\phi_{j,i}^{i_1}(0,\dots,0)=0$, and (2), (4) and (7) hold, one can write

([3]):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{t_{1}^{1}-1} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{j}^{\tau}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{t_{1}^{1}-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{t_{1}^{1}} \bar{z}_{j}^{k} \psi_{j,i}^{t_{1}^{1},i}(\bar{\xi}^{t_{1}^{1}}),$$

where $\phi_{j+1}^{t_1}$ is a continuous function. Then there exists a smooth function $\alpha_j^{t_1}(\xi_1^{t_1})$ such that

$$|\psi_j^{k,i}| \leqslant \frac{1}{t_1^1 \times r_1} \alpha_j^{t_1^1},$$

where $\bar{\xi}^{t_1^1} = (\bar{z}_1^1, \cdots, \bar{z}_{r_1}^1, \cdots, \bar{z}_{r_1^1}^1, \cdots, \bar{z}_{r_1^1}^1)^T$. As $\theta \in \Omega$, one can get a suitable (unknown) constant $\mu_j^{t_1^1}$ such that

$$\mid \theta_i \mid \leq \sqrt{\mu_j^{t_i}}/p$$
.

Therefore, one can obtain

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j!,i}^{t_{1}^{1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{\tau=1}^{t_{1}^{1-1}} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{\tau}} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \phi_{j,i}^{t_{1}^{1-1}} | \leqslant \| \tilde{\xi} t_{1}^{1} \| \alpha_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}} \sqrt{\mu_{j}^{t_{1}^{1}}}.$$

By letting $\bar{z}_{i}^{t_{1}^{1}+1} = z_{i}^{t_{1}^{1}+1} - z_{i}^{(t_{1}^{1}+1)*}$

$$z_{j}^{(i_{1}^{l}+1)*} = -\bar{z}_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}-1} - k_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}}\bar{z}_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{z}_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}}(\alpha_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}})^{2}\hat{\mu}_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}}\sum_{r=1}^{i_{1}^{l}-1} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}*}}{\partial \bar{z}_{i}^{r}}\bar{z}_{j}^{r+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r_{1}}\sum_{r=1}^{i_{1}^{l}-1} \frac{\partial z_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}*}}{\partial \bar{\mu}_{j}^{r}}\hat{\mu}_{j}^{r},$$

$$\dot{\mu}_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}} = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{z}_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}}\alpha_{j}^{i_{1}^{l}})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}$$
(8)

one can get

$$\dot{V}_{j1}^{l} \leqslant \bar{z}_{j1}^{l} \bar{z}_{j1}^{l+1} - \bar{z}_{j1}^{l-1} \bar{z}_{j1}^{l-1} - k_{j1}^{l} (\bar{z}_{j1}^{l})^{2} - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{z}_{j1}^{l} \alpha_{j1}^{l})^{2} \mu_{j1}^{l} + |\bar{z}_{j1}^{l}| + |\bar{z}_{j1}^{l}| + |\bar{z}_{j1}^{l}| |\bar{z}_{j1}^{l}| |\bar{z}_{j1}^{l}| |\bar{z}_{j1}^{l}|$$

$$\leqslant \bar{z}_{j1}^{l} \bar{z}_{j1}^{l+1} - \bar{z}_{j1}^{l-1} \bar{z}_{j1}^{l} - k_{j1}^{l} (\bar{z}_{j1}^{l})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{1}} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{1}} (\bar{z}_{i}^{k})^{2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, r_{1}. \tag{9}$$

Now define the Lyapunov function as follows:

$$V_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{h=1}^{t_1^1} V_j^h.$$

Then, it follows from (3), (5) and (9) that the time derivative of V_1 becomes

$$\dot{V}_1 \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \bar{z}_j^{l_1^1} \bar{z}_j^{l_1^1} - \sum_{i=1}^{r_1} \sum_{h=1}^{l_1^1} [k_j^h - r_1(t_1^1 - h + 1)](\bar{z}_j^h)^2.$$

Let $k_j^h - r_1(t_1^1 - h + 1) = \varepsilon$, i.e., $k_j^h = r_1(t_1^1 - h + 1) + \varepsilon$, and $\bar{z}_j^{t_1^1} = 0$, i.e. $z_j^{t_1^1 + 1} = z_j^{(t_1^1 + 1) *}$. Then one can get

$$V \leqslant -\varepsilon \parallel \tilde{\varepsilon}^{t_1} \parallel^2 = -\varepsilon \parallel \bar{z} \parallel^2$$

with $\epsilon > 0$.

As a result, the equilibrium point z = 0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable ([4]). Since \bar{z} is related to z by the transformations (2), (4), (7) and (8), it follows that z = 0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable equilibrium puint.

Lemma 2 For the systems $\Sigma_{j}^{1}(1,1), \dots, \Sigma_{j}^{i}(1,1), \dots, \Sigma_{j}^{i+1}(2,1), \dots, \Sigma_{j}^{i}(2,1), j=1,\dots,$

 r_1 and $\Sigma_j^1(2,2), \dots, \Sigma_{j^2}^{j^2}(2,2), j=r_1+1, \dots, r_2$ (defined as (1)) with inputs $\nu_j=z_j^{j^2+1}$. If Ω is a compact set, then there exists a global state feedback self-tuning controller such that the closed loop system is globally asymptotically stable at the origin for any $\theta \in \Omega$.

The proof is simillar to that of Lemm 1, and here it is ommitted.

Theorem 1 For the system (1), if Ω is a (unkown) compact set, then there exists a global state feedback atabilizing self-tuning controller such that the closed loop system is globally asymptotically stable at the origin for any $\theta \in \Omega$.

Proof by using the method of constructing the Lyapunov function given in Lemma 1, one can construct the Lyapunov function $V_j^h(q,\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}_j^h)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\mu}_j^h)^2$, where $\bar{\mu}_j^h = \mu_j^h - \hat{\mu}_j^h$, μ_j^h is a suitable constant, $\hat{\mu}_j^h$ are self-tuning functions yet to be determined, for each subsystem $\sum_{j=1}^{q-1} h(q,\tau)$ of the system(1). In addition, one can verify that the time derivative of such a function satisfies:

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h}(q,\tau) \leqslant \bar{z}_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h}\bar{z}_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h+1} - \bar{z}_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h-1}\bar{z}_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h} - k_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h}(\bar{z}_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h})^2 \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{q} \sum_{i=r_{p-1}+1}^{r_{p}} \sum_{k=1}^{t_{p-1}^{q-1}+h} (\bar{z}_{i}^{k})^2, \\ j = r_{r-1}+1, \cdots, r_{r}; \quad h = 1, \cdots, t_{q}^{q}; \quad q = \tau, \cdots, s; \quad \tau = 1, \cdots, s \end{split}$$

where

$$\bar{z}_{jr}^{q^{-1}+h+1} = z_{jr}^{q^{-1}+h+1} - z_{jr}^{(q^{-1}+h+1)*},
j = r_{r-1} + 1, \dots, r_r; \quad h = 1, \dots, t_q^q; \quad q = \tau, \dots, s; \quad \tau = 1, \dots, s
\text{with } \bar{z}_j^1 = z_j^1, \quad \bar{z}_j^0 = 0, \quad r_0 = 0, \quad t_i^{i-1} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$
(10)

Define the Lyapunov function of the systerm (1) as follows:

$$V = \sum_{r=1}^{J} \sum_{q=r}^{J} \sum_{j=r-1+1}^{r} \sum_{h=1}^{l_q^q} V_{jr}^{q-1+h}(q,r).$$

then one can prove that the time derivative of the Lyapunov function V satisfies

$$\dot{V} \leqslant \sum_{\tau=1}^{s} \sum_{j=r_{\tau-1}+1}^{r_{\tau}} \bar{z}_{j'}^{t'} \bar{z}_{j}^{t'+1} - \sum_{\tau=1}^{s} \sum_{q=\tau}^{s} \sum_{j=r_{\tau-1}+1}^{r_{\tau}} \sum_{h=1}^{q'} k_{j}^{t^{q-1}+h} (\bar{z}_{j\tau}^{t^{q-1}+h})^{2} \\
+ \sum_{\tau=1}^{s} \sum_{q=\tau}^{s} \sum_{j=r_{\tau-1}+1}^{r_{\tau}} \sum_{h=1}^{t_{q}^{q}} \sum_{\rho=1}^{q} \sum_{j=r_{\rho-1}+1}^{\tau_{\rho}} \sum_{k=1}^{t^{q-1}+h} (-z_{j}^{k})^{2}$$

one can obtain that

$$V \leqslant \sum_{r=1}^{s} \sum_{j=r_{r-1}+1}^{r_r} \bar{z}_{j'}^{t'} \bar{z}_{j'}^{t'+1} - \sum_{q=1}^{s} \sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{j=r_{r-1}+1}^{r_r} \sum_{h=1}^{l_q^q} \{k_{jr}^{q-1} + h - [r_q(t_q^q - h + 1) + \sum_{k=q+1}^{s} r_k t_k^k]\} (\bar{z}_{jr}^{t_q^{q-1} + h})^2.$$
Choose $k_{jr}^{t_q^{q-1} + h}$ such that

$$k_{j}^{q^{-1}+h} - [r_q(t_q^q - h + 1) + \sum_{k=q+1}^{s} r_k t_k^k] = \varepsilon,$$

$$j = r_{r-1} + 1, \dots, r_r; h = 1, \dots, t_q^q; r = 1, \dots, q; q = 1, \dots, s.$$

And let $\bar{z}_{J}^{t_{j+1}} = 0$, i. e.

$$\bar{z}_{j}^{t'+1} = z_{j}^{(t'+1)*} = v_{j}, \quad j = r_{\tau} - 1, \dots, r_{\tau}; \tau = 1, \dots, s.$$

Then one can get

$$V \leqslant -\varepsilon \parallel \bar{\xi}^{i_1} \parallel^2 = -\varepsilon \parallel \bar{z} \parallel^2,$$

with $\varepsilon > 0$.

As a result, the equilibrium point $\bar{z}=0$ is globally uniformly asymptotically stable ([7]). Since \bar{z} is related to z by the transformations (10), it follows that z=0 is globally uniformly asymptotically stable equilibrium point for the system(1).

3 Conclusion

This paper has discussed the state feedback robust stabilizing problem for multi-input timevarying uncertain nonlinear systems whose nominal system is globally feedback linearizable. A feedback controller which globally stabilizes each system in the family is constructed by a recursive algorithm.

References

- 1 Dorato, P. A historical review of robust control. IEEE Control Systems Magazine, 1987, 7(2):44 47
- Marino, R, and Tomei, P.. Robust stabilization of feedback linearizable time-varying uncertain nonlinear systems. Automatica, 1993, 29(1):181 - 189
- 3 Nijmeijer, H., A van der Schaft. Nonliear dynamical control systems. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990
- 4 Hahn, W.. Stability of motion, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967
- 5 Lu Souyin. Robust control of parameters uncertain nonlinear systems. M.S. Thesis, Northeast Universyty, 1995

多输入非线性不确定系统的全局鲁棒自校正控制器的设计

鲁守银 井元伟 刘晓平 (东北大学自动控制系·沈阳,110006)

摘要:本文讨论了多输入非线性时变不确定系统的鲁棒镇定问题,其中的未知参变量是以线性形式出现的,同时给出了鲁棒可稳定化自校正控制器的设计.

关键词: 鲁棒镇定; 非线性不确定系统; 鲁棒自校正控制器

本文作者简介

鲁守银 1968年生, 东北大学自动控制系博士生, 主要研究方向: 非线性系统, 机器人系统.

井元伟 1958年生. 东北大学自动控制系副教授. 主要研究方向: 复杂大系统.

刘晓平 1962年生, 东北大学自控系教授, 博士生导师, 主要研究方向: 非线性系统, 广义系统, 机器人系统,