H_∞ Controller Design Based on Chain Scattering Description*

Qiu Yuhuang and Zhang Benyong

(Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences · Beijing, 100080, P. R. China)

Abstract: This paper concentrates on computational method, Q-parameter utilization problem, stable controller design and reduced order design in H_{∞} controller based on chain scattering description of transfer function and (J, J')-loseless factorization.

Key words; chain scattering description; (J, J') -loseless factorization; H_{∞} control

基于链式散射描述的H。控制器设计

裘聿皇 张本勇

(中国科学院自动化所·北京,100080)

摘要:基于链式散射描述对 H_{∞} 的标准问题,揭示了 Q 参数和控制器之间的关系.利用 Q 参数得到稳定的控制器,并利用 Q 参数进行控制器降价.还给出了有关例子.

关键词:链式散射描述; (J,J')-无损因子分解; H_{∞} 控制

1 Introduction

It was pointed in [1] that we could solve H_{∞} control problem based on chain scattering description of transfer function. The same idea was also presented in [2,3]. Youla parameterization and model match problem are not necessary to solve H_{∞} control problem. It gives a simple and unified framework for H_{∞} control problem. Futhermore, as shown in this paper, the special structure of controller parameterization makes it possible to use free parameter Q(s) to satisfy more specifications, such as to design stable controller and design reduced order controller.

Usually, closed loop transfer function $\Phi(s)$ is represented as Linear Fractional Transformations (LFT). If $\Phi(s)$ is represented as:

$$\Phi(s) = CSD(S(s), K(s)) = :
(S_{11}(s)K(s) + S_{12}(s))(S_{21}(s)K(s) + S_{22}(s))^{-1},
(1)$$

we say equation (1) is Chain Scattering Description (CSD) of $\Phi(s)$, where

$$S(s) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}(s) & S_{12}(s) \\ S_{21}(s) & S_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+r)\times(p+q)},$$

 $K(s) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}, r = q.$

We can obtain directly CSD from LFT for 1-block and 2-block problem^[1]. It can be shown that if and only if

we can get (J, J')-loseless factorization of S(s) then we can get solutions to standard H_{∞} control problem: Find K(s) stabilizing closed loop system and $\|\Phi(s)\|_{\infty} < 1^{[1]}$. For general 4-block problem, we need to introduce some auxiliary signals to get CSD, see [3,4] for detail. In this paper we focuse our attention on computational issues. For simplicity we consider only 1-block and 2-block problem. But it should be noted that our method can easily be extended to 4-block problem.

Computation of (J, J') -loseless factorization in the state space was discussed in $[2 \sim 6]$ based on Algebraic Riccati Equation. In all these methods it was assumed that there exists an nonsingular matrix $D_{\pi} \in \mathbb{R}^{(p+q)\times(p+q)}$ such that

$$D_{\pi}^{\mathrm{T}} J_{p,q} D_{\pi} = D^{\mathrm{T}} J_{m,r} D, \qquad (2)$$

where $J_{m,r}=\operatorname{diag}\{I_m,-I_r\}$, $J_{p,q}=\operatorname{diag}\{I_p,-I_q\}$, $m\geqslant p\geqslant 0$, $r\geqslant q\geqslant 0$, $D\in\mathbb{R}^{(m+r)\times(p+q)}$. D_π is used to give solution of (J,J')-loseless factorization. In $[2\sim 4]$ a special D_π is solved for a particular D. In [7] a simple numerical algorithm is proposed to compute the solution of equation (2). In Section 2 we give a numerical algorithm to compute (J,J')-loseless factorization in $\mathbb{R}L_\infty$.

Next in Section 3 new state space parameterization of

^{*} This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(69681002 and 69635030).
Manuscript received Feb. 4, 1997, revised Jan. 16, 1998.

controller is obtained. We discuss the relation between the order of controller and parameter Q(s).

In Section 4 we discuss how to get stable controller, whose order does not increase at the same time, by constant matrix parameter $D^q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$, $\bar{\sigma}(D_q) < 1$.

In Section 5 we propose a method to get reduced order controller by parameter Q(s).

Finally we have implemented our algorithms in Matlab environment. Design examples of ordinary controller, stable controller and reduced order controller are given to demonstrate our method.

Notations: $\mathbb{R}H_{\infty}$: set of stable rational proper matrices, $\mathbb{R}L_{\infty}$: set of rational proper matrices without pole on the $j\omega$ - axis, $\mathbb{R}^{p\times q}$: constant real $p\times q$ matrix, deg (G(s)): McMillan degree of G(s), $\lambda(A)$: eigenvalue of A, $\rho(A)$: maximum eigenvalue of A, $\bar{\sigma}(D)$: maximum singular value of D, I_m : identical matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$, $X = \mathrm{Ric}(H)$: solution of algebraic Riccati Equation: $XA + A^TX - XPX + Q = 0$ such that A - PX is stable,

where
$$H = : \begin{bmatrix} A & -P \\ -O & -A^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$
.

2 Computing (J, J')- loseless factorization in $\mathbb{R}L_{\infty}$

From [7] and Theorem 2 in [6], we could give an algorithm to compute (J, J')- loseless factorization in

 $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{L}_{\infty}$.

Algorithm Given state space realization of partitioned matrix S(s) (equation (1)) belongs to $\mathbb{R}L_{\infty}$:

$$S(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D = : \left[\frac{A \mid B}{C \mid D}\right], (3)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+q)},$ $C \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+r) \times n}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+r) \times (p+q)}.$

Step 1 Using the algorithm in [7] to solve equation (2) to get D_{π} . If D_{π} does not exist, S(s) has no such factorization, return.

Step 2 Solving two algebraic Riccati equation: X = Ric(Hx), Y = Ric(Hy), where

$$Hx = : \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ -C^{T}J_{mr}C & -A^{T} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} B \\ -C^{T}J_{mr}D \end{bmatrix} \cdot$$

$$(D^{T}J_{mr}D)^{-1}[D^{T}J_{mr}D \quad B^{T}],$$

$$Hy = : \begin{bmatrix} A^{T} & C^{T}J_{mr}C \\ 0 & -A \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $X \ge 0$, $Y \ge 0$ and $\rho(XY) < 1$ hold, continue; else, S(s) has no such factorization, return.

Step 3 We get state space realization of (J, J')-loseless factors: $\Theta(s)$ is (J, J')-loseless, $\Pi(s)$ and $\Pi(s)^{-1}$ is stable.

$$S(s) = \Theta(s)\Pi(s)^{-1} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Theta(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} A + BF & 0 & B_1 \\ 0 & A & B_2 \\ C + DF & C & D \end{bmatrix} D_{\pi}^{-1}, \\ \Pi(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A + YC^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}C + (B + YC^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}D)F(I - YX)^{-1} & -(B + YC^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}D) \\ -F(I - YX)^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} D_{\pi}^{-1}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} (I - YX)^{-1}(B + YC^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}D) \\ (I - YX)^{-1}Y(XB + C^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}D) \end{bmatrix}, \quad F = -(D^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}D)^{-1}(B^{\mathsf{T}}X + D^{\mathsf{T}}J_{mr}C). \end{split}$$

Step 4 Find the minimal state space realization of $\Theta(s)$ and $\Pi(s)$.

Remark Our formulae for S(s), $\Pi(s)$, which are natural and suitable for computation, are different from [6].

3 Computation of H_∞ controller

3.1 Algorithm to compute H_{∞} controller

We shall outline the steps to compute H_{∞} controller based on CSD of closed loop transfer function and (J, J') -loseless factorization.

Step 1 Computing the CSD of closed loop transfer function. we can get CSD directly from robust control problem (such as mixed sensitivity problem) or convert from ordinary state space realization of generalized plant^[1,2]. Supposing we get state space realization (3).

Step 2 Computing (J, J') -loseless factorization of generalized plant S(s) using the algorithm in section 2.

Step 3 Computing H_{∞} controller K(s). Supposing we get (J, J') loseless factorization (4), then all the H_{∞} controllers are given by

$$K(s) = CSD(\Pi(s), O(s))$$
 (5)

where $Q(s) \in \mathbb{R}H^{p \times q}$ and $\|Q(s)\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. To efficiently compute K(s), we shall give its state space realization.

3.2 State space parameterization of controller

Theorem 1 (State space parameterization of K(s)) assuming $||Q(s)||_{\infty} \le 1$, let

$$\begin{split} \Pi(s) &= \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{11}(s) & \Pi_{12}(s) \\ \Pi_{21}(s) & \Pi_{22}(s) \end{bmatrix} = : \\ & \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & D_{11} & D_{12} \\ C_2 & D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \\ Q(s) &= : \begin{bmatrix} A_q & B_q \\ \hline C_q & D_q \end{bmatrix}, \\ K(s) &= \text{CSD}(\Pi(s), Q(s)) = : \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B_k \\ \hline C_t & D_t \end{bmatrix}, \end{split}$$

then

$$(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1} [C_2 \quad D_{21}C_q],$$

$$B_k = -\begin{bmatrix} B_1D_q + B_2 \\ B_q \end{bmatrix} (D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1},$$

$$C_k = \begin{bmatrix} -C_1 & -D_{11}C_q \end{bmatrix} + (D_{11}D_q + D_{12})(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1} [C_2 \quad D_{21}C_q],$$

$$D_k = (D_{11}D_q + D_{12})(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1}.$$
Specially, if $A_q = B_q = C_q = 0$, we have
$$A_k = A - (B_1D_q + B_2)(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1}C_2,$$

$$B_k = -(B_1D_q + B_2)(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1},$$

$$C_k = -C_1 + (D_{11}D_q + D_{12})(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1}C_2,$$

$$D_k = (D_{11}D_q + D_{12})(D_{21}D_q + D_{22})^{-1}.$$
To simplify notation, A is substituted for $A + YC^TJ_{mr}C$

 $A_k = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 C_q \\ 0 & A_n \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} B_1 D_q + B_2 \\ B_q \end{bmatrix}.$

Proof We verify the theorem by direct computation. First, we get the following identical relation (Here A, B, C_1 , C_2 , D_1 , D_2 are arbitrary matrices of compatible dimensions):

+ $(B + YC^{T}J_{mr}D)F(I - YX)^{-1}$ in Section 3.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C_1 & D_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C_2 & D_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A - BD_2^{-1}C_2 & -BD_2^{-1} \\ -C_1 + D_1D_2^{-1}C_2 & D_1D_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(6)

Through direct computation we have:

$$\begin{split} &\Pi_{11}(s)\,Q(s)\,+\,\Pi_{12}(s)\,=\\ &\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B_1 \\ \hline C_1 & D_{11} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_q & B_q \\ \hline C_q & D_q \end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 & D_{12} \end{array}\right] =\\ &\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B_1\,C_q & B_1\,D_q + B_2 \\ \hline 0 & A_q & B_q \\ \hline C_1 & D_{11}\,C_q & D_{11}\,D_q + D_{12} \end{array}\right],\\ &\Pi_{21}(s)\,Q(s)\,+\,\Pi_{22}(s)\,=\\ &\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B_1 \\ \hline C_2 & D_{21} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A_q & B_q \\ \hline C_q & D_q \end{array}\right] + \left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B_2 \\ \hline C_2 & D_{22} \end{array}\right] =\\ &\left[\begin{array}{c|c} A & B_1\,C_q & B_1\,D_q + B_2 \\ \hline 0 & A_q & B_q \\ \hline C_2 & D_{21}\,C_q & D_{21}\,D_q + D_{22} \end{array}\right]. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, $K(s) = \text{CSD}(\Pi(s), Q(s)) = (\Pi_{11}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{12}(s))(\Pi_{21}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{22}(s))^{-1}$. From above equations and (6) we complete the proof of first part of theorem 1. The rest follows by substituting $A_q = B_q = C_q = 0$ and eliminating uncontrollable modes.

3.3 The order of controller and Q(s)

In Section 2, we obtain the state space realization of $\Theta(s)$ and $\Pi(s)$. Given chain scattering matrix S(s), $\deg(\Pi(s)) \leqslant \deg(S(s))$. (Generally realizations of $\Theta(s)$ and $\Pi(s)$ in Section 2 are not necessary minimal).

From state space parameterization of controller in Section 3.2, we have:

$$\deg(K(s)) \leqslant \deg(\Pi(s)) + \deg(Q(s)) \leqslant \\ \deg(S(s)) + \deg(Q(s)). \tag{7}$$
 If $\deg(Q(s)) = 0$, we have: $\deg(K(s)) \leqslant \\ \deg(S(s))$, i. e., the order of controller is no larger than the order of generalized plant. Sometimes when we carry out minimal realization of $H(s)$, we can get: $\deg(H(s)) < \deg(S(s))$, choose $Q(s) = D_q, \bar{\sigma}(D_q) < 1$, thus we can get controller $K(s)$: $\deg(K(s)) < \deg(S(s))$, i. e., the order of controller is lower than that of generalized plant. In fact we obtain such an example, see Appendix.

It is clear from Theorem 1 that when we set $Q(s) = D_q$ the order of controller does not increase, where D_q is an constant matrix, $\sigma(D_q) < 1$. Maybe we could use such parameterization to satisfy other specifications such as reduced order controller, stable controller, etc.

If deg (Q(s)) > 0, generally the order of controller will increase.

Remark Because the order of minimal realization of $\Pi(s)$ may be less than that of generalized plant, sometimes the order of our controller is lower than that of DGKF's^[8]. It is interesting that even then our method is different from that of DGKF, we find that the poles and zeros of resulted controller are consistent (Q(s) = 0) in our numerical design examples.

4 Design stable controller by Q(s)

If we set parameter Q(s) = 0, sometimes we get unstable controller (both in our method and DGKF method). In fact, we obtain such an example (see Appendix).

To obtain stable and lower order controller, we may use constant parameter

$$Q(s) = D_q, \bar{\sigma}(D_q) < 1, D_q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}.$$
 (8)

From Theorem 1, controller K(s) is stable if and only if

$$A_k = A - (B_1 D_q + B_2)(D_{21} D_q + D_{22})^{-1} C_2$$
 (9)

is stable, equivalently, to get a stable controller K(s) is to find a constant $D_q \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ such that

$$\max_{\bar{\sigma}(D_q)<1} \lambda (A - (B_1 D_q + B_2)(D_{21} D_q + D_{22})^{-1} C_2) < 0.$$

(10)

If p = q = 1, (10) could be efficiently solved by dichotomizing search in set (-1,1).

When p, q are not too large we may find an appropriate D_q through search. For large p, q how to numerically solve (10) is now being investigated.

5 Design reduced controller by Q(s)

5.1 Introduction

Generally, H_∞ controller can be represented as follows:

$$K(s) = CSD(\Pi(s),$$

$$Q(s)) = (\Pi_{11}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{12}(s)) \cdot$$

$$(\Pi_{21}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{22}(s))^{-1} =$$

$$N(s)D(s)^{-1},$$

$$N(s) = \Pi_{11}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{12}(s),$$

$$D(s) = \Pi_{21}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{22}(s)$$

$$Q(s) = \Pi_{21}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{22}(s)$$

where $Q(s) \in \mathbb{R}H^{p \times q}$ and $||Q(s)||_{\infty} < 1$.

In this section we propose a method to get reduced order controller by Q(s). A reduced order controller design example is given in Appendix.

5.2 SISO case

We investigate the problem to get reduced order controller by Q(s) for SISO system (p = q = 1). We want to find a Q(s) to cancel common zeros of denominator D(s) and numerator N(s) of K(s). If there exists a $Q(s) \in \mathbb{R}H^{p\times q}$, $\|Q(s)\|_{\infty} < 1$ such that equations (12), (13):

$$N(s) = \Pi_{11}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{12}(s) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$D(s) = \Pi_{21}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{22}(s) = 0$$
 (13)

have common zeros, the common zeros of D(s) and N(s) can be cancelled. On the other hand from the discussion in Section 3 we have: if $\deg(Q(s))=0$, the order of K(s) will not increase when Q(s) is nonzero. So if we take Q(s) a constant parameter, when equations (12),(13) have common zeros, we shall get reduced order controller. Since at least one zero of denominator of K(s) is cancelled, a n-th order controller will reduce to at least a (n-1)-th order controller.

Theorem 2 If s_i is a common zero of equations (12), (13), we must have:

$$\Pi_{11}(s_i)\Pi_{22}(s_i) - \Pi_{12}(s_i)\Pi_{21}(s_i) = 0, (14)$$

$$Q(s_i) = - \Pi_{12}(s_i) / \Pi_{11}(s_i). \tag{15}$$

Corollary 1 The common zeros of D(s), N(s) are all in open left half plane.

From Theorem 2 we have the following algorithm to compute Q(s) and K(s) (SISO case):

Step 1) Solve algebraic equation (14) we get s_i .

Step 2) From equation (15) we get $Q(s_i)$.

Step 3) Choose: $Q(s) = Q(s_i)$, where s_i is a solution of equation (14) with the largest multiplicity and $Q(s_i)$ is a real number whose absolute value is less than 1.

Step 4) From Theorem 1 we get K(s). Computing minimal realization of K(s) we get reduced order controller.

5.3 MIMO case

In this case the problem is more difficult than SISO case. Here we give partial solution to this problem. If there exist $0 \not\equiv \xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $0 \not\equiv \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and $Q(s) \in \mathbb{R}H^{p \times q}$, $\|Q(s)\|_{\infty} < 1$ such that equations (16), (17):

$$N(s)\xi_1 = (\Pi_{11}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{12}(s))\xi_1 = 0,$$
(16)

$$D(s)\xi_2 = (\Pi_{21}(s)Q(s) + \Pi_{22}(s))\xi_2 = 0$$
(17)

have common zeros, we can cancel these common zeros of D(s) and N(s). Thus the order of controller, i.e., the number of zeros of D(s), will decrease at least by 1. From discussion in Section 4 we know that when $\deg(Q(s)) = 0$, the order of controller will not increase. So if we choose a constant matrix which makes equations (16), (17) have common zeros as Q(s), the order of controller must decrease at least by 1, i.e., n - th order controller must reduce to at least (n-1)-th order controller.

Theorem 3 If s_i is a common zero of equations (16), (17) and $\xi = \xi_1 = \xi_2 \neq 0$, we have:

$$\det(\Pi(s_i)) = 0, \tag{18}$$

where $\Pi(s)$ is defined in Theorem 1.

Theorem 4 Supposing $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^p$, there exists $Q(s_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$, $\bar{\sigma}(Q(s_i)) < 1$, $\eta = Q(s_i)\xi$ if and only if $\eta^T \eta < \xi^T \xi$.

From Theorem 3 and 4 we have the following algorithm to compute Q(s) and K(s) (MIMO case):

step 1) Solving algebraic equation (18) we get n zeros s_i of $\Pi(s)$. One can use Matlab function tzero(). Ordering zeros s_i by their multiplicity. Let i = 0.

step 2) If i < n, solve linear equations: $\Pi(s_i)\zeta = 0$, where $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$; if i = n, return.

step 3) Partition ζ as: $\zeta = [\eta^T \quad \xi^T]^T$, where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^p$. If $\eta^T \eta < \xi^T \xi$, from Theorem 4 we get $Q(s) = Q(s_i)$; If there exists no such ξ , η , i = i + 1, go to Step 2).

step 4) From Theorem 1 we get K(s). Compute minimal realization of K(s) we get reduced order controller.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we discuss numerical computation method and Q-parameter utilization in H_{∞} controller based on CSD of transfer function and (J, J')-loseless factorization. We propose methods to design stable controller and reduced order controller by Q(s).

We give a numerical method to compute (J,J')-loseless factorization in $\mathbb{R}L_{\infty}$. Then we outline the procedure to compute H_{∞} controller based on CSD of generalized plant and (J,J')- loseless factorization.

We give state space parameterization of controller and

the order of controller is discussed. How to obtain lower order stable controller through constant matrix parameter is discussed. Finally we give a method to get reduced order controller by parameter Q(s).

Our method has been implemented in Matlab environment. Design examples are given to illustrate the procedure. How to use our controller parameterization to satisfy more specifications is worthy of further investigating.

References

- 1 Qiu Y H and Ji H B. Chain scattering descriptions and linear fractional transformations. Chinese Journal of Automation, New York; Allerton Press Inc, 1994,6(3):131 – 139
- 2 Tsai M C and Postlethwaite I. On J- Loseless comprime factorization and H[∞] control. Int. J. of Robust and Nonlinear Control, 1991, 1(1):47 – 68
- 3 Tsai M C and Tsai C S. A chain scattering-matrix description approach to the synthesis of H^ω control. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 1993, 38 (9):1416-1421
- 4 Tsai M C and Tsai C S. A Transfer matrix framework approach to the synthesis of H[∞] controller. Int. J. Robust and Nonlinear Control, 1995, 5 (3):155 - 173
- 5 Kimura H. (J, J') -Loseless factorization using conjugation of zeros and poles extractions. In: Thoma M and Wyner W. Control and Information Sciences 183, New York; Spring-Verlag, 1992, 1 – 8
- 6 Xin X and Feng C B. (J, J') -Lossless factorization-revisited. Control Theory and Applications, 1995, 12(1):95-100
- 7 Qiu Y H and Zhang B Y. On some problems in (J, J') loseless factorization. Acta Automatica Sinica, 1997, 23(6):842 - 844
- 8 Doyle J C et al. State-space solution to standard H^{∞} and H^2 control problems. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr. 1989, 34(8):831 847

Appendix H_{∞} Controller design based on chain scattering description

We demonstrate the design procedure of ordinary controller, stable controller (Section 4), and reduced order controller (Section 5) through a simple imaginary plant. (adopt from [6])

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ z \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ C_1 & D_{11} & D_{12} \\ C_2 & D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ w \\ u \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ -2 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, C_2^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D_{11} = D_{22} = 0, D_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, D_{21} = 1.$$

Step 1 compute chain scattering description matrix S(s): In this case, D_{21} is invertible, we can directly get S(s):

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} A - B_1 D_{21}^{-1} C_2 & B_2 - B_1 D_{21}^{-1} D_{22} & B_2 \\ \hline C_1 - D_{11} D_{21}^{-1} C_2 & D_{12} - D_{11} D_{21}^{-1} D_{22} & D_{11} D_{21}^{-1} \\ - D_{21}^{-1} C_2 & - D_{21}^{-1} D_{22} & D_{21}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\ \hline 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 2 Find (J, J') -loseless factorization:

In this case, m=2, r=p=q=1. First, we solve (2) to get a $D_\pi=\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{bmatrix}$, then by solving two Riccati equations we get X = $\mathrm{Ric}(Hx)=\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix}\geqslant 0$, $Y=\mathrm{Ric}(H_y)=\begin{bmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix}\geqslant 0$. Thus we get (J,J')-loseless factorization:

$$\Theta(s) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & | -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Pi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 3 Compute H_{∞} controller.

a) Compute stable H_∞ controller.

From Theorem 2, take $Q(s)=D_q$, where D_q is a constant number (p=q=1). We have: $K(s)=\left[\begin{array}{c|c} \frac{1-3D_q}{-2+3D_q} & D_q+2 \\ \hline D_q\end{array}\right]$, $A_k=1-3D_q$. If $Q(s)=D_q=0$,

 $A_k=1$, we get a unstable controller. Clearly we can get lower order (order less than 2) stable controller by taking any $1/3 < D_q < 1$. For example if we take $Q(s) = D_q = 5/6$, we have K(s) = (5s+11)/(6s+9), which is a 1-st order stable controller.

b) Compute reduced order H_{∞} controller.

$$\Pi_{11}(s)=(s+7)/(s+5), \Pi_{12}(s)=-4/(s+5), \Pi_{21}(s)=3/(s+5), \Pi_{22}(s)=(s-1)/(s+5).$$
 Solve equation (15) we get common zero: $s=-1$. From equation (16) we have $Q(-1)=2/3$. Taking $Q(s)=2/3$ we get reduced order controller $K(s)=2/3$, which is a zero-th order proportional controller.

Remark For the above plant, the controller designed by DGKF method in Robust Toolbox in Matlab is (Q(s) = 0); K(s)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Cleary $K(s)$ is unstable and its order is higher

than that of our controller.

本文作者简介

袭聿皇 1942年生,中国科学院自动化研究所研究员,主要研究方向:鲁棒控制系统,控制系统 CAD,复杂系统建模,遗传算法.

张本勇 1971 年生.1996 年在中国科学院自动化研究所获硕士学位,现在美国 Georgia Institute of Technology 攻读博士学位,主要研究方向:鲁棒控制系统.

(Continued from page 338)

- 5 Khargonekar P P, Petersen I R and Zhou K. Robust stabilization of uncertain linear systems; quadratic stabilizability and H[∞] control theory. IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., 1990, 35(3); 356 361
- 6 Zhou K and Khargonekar P P. An algebraic Riccati equation approach to H^{∞} optimization. Systems & Control Letters, 1988, 11:85 91

本文作者简介

王景成 1972 年生.1992 年毕业于西北工业大学自动控制系,1995 年获西北工业大学飞行器控制、制导与仿真专业工学硕士学位,1998 年获浙江大学工业自动化专业工学博士学位,现于上海交通大学自动化系从事博士后研究工作.主要研究兴趣是鲁棒控制,时

滞系统控制,控制系统计算机辅助设计,实时控制算法研究.

苏宏业 1969 年生. 1990 年毕业于南京化工大学,1993 年获浙江大学工业自动化硕士学位,1995 年获浙江大学工业自动化专业博士学位,现为浙江大学工业控制技术研究所副教授. 主要研究兴趣是鲁棒控制,时滞系统控制,非线性系统控制和 PID 自整定理论和应用研究.

褚 健 1963 年生.1982 年毕业于浙江大学,1986 年~1989 年 留学日本京都大学,1989 年获工学博士学位.1991 年被聘为浙江大 学副教授,1993 年被聘为浙江大学教授,博士生导师,现为工业自动 化国家工程研究中心副主任.主要从事时滞系统控制,非线性控制, 鲁棒控制等理论与应用研究.发表论文七十余篇.

俞 立 见本刊 1999 年第 1 期第 133 页.