Article ID: 1000 - 8152(2001)03 - 0385 - 05

Disturbance Decoupling for Periodic and Multirate Systems*

SUN Zhendong

(The Seventh Research Division, Berjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 100083, P. R. China)

Abstract: We address the disturbance decoupling problem of periodic and multirate discrete-time systems via synchronous controllers. A theory of multifeedback controlled invariant subspaces is developed. Based on this theory, a constructive solution for the solvability of the disturbance decoupling problem is presented. A complete characterization of the friend set of a given multifeedback controlled invariant subspace and a partial characterization of the DDP-PTD solutions are offered.

Key words: periodic and multirate systems; disturbance decoupling; multifeedback controlled invariant subspace **Document code:** A

周期多频采样系统的干扰解耦

孙振东

(北京航空航天大学第七研究室:北京,100083)

摘要: 研究了利用同步控制器解决周期多频采样系统的干扰解耦问题. 为此, 首先提出多反馈受控不变子空间的概念, 并给出其基本性质. 基于这一框架, 得到周期多频采样系统干扰解耦问题易验证的可解条件, 并利用参数化方法刻划了解耦反馈集合.

关键词:周期多频采样系统:干扰解耦;多反馈受控不变子空间

1 Introduction

Consider a periodic linear, discrete-time multirate system with disturbance

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = A(t+1)x(t) + B(t+1)u(t) + \\ Dv(t), t = 0,1,\dots, \\ y(kT) = Cx(kT), k = 0,1,\dots, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are state variables, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are inputs, $v(t) \in \mathbb{R}^t$ are unknown disturbances, and $y(kT) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are outputs. The matrices A(t), B(t), C and D are of appropriate dimensions. $A(t+kT) = A(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_t, B(t+kT) = B(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_t, k = 1, 2, \cdots. T$ is the period. Note that the outputs are measured once during a period, so system (1) is named a multirate model.

The purpose of this paper is to study the disturbance decoupling problem for system (1). The controllers used here are of the form

$$u(jT + i - 1) = F_{x}(jT + i - 1),$$

$$i = 1, \dots, T, \ j = 0, 1, \dots.$$
(2)

Recall that the periodically time-varying controllers like (2) were utilized to multirate control for time-invariant linear systems in numerous literature, see, References [1] and [2].

Disturbance decoupling problem for periodic and multirate discrete-time linear systems (DDP-PMD). Find, if possible, a sequence of feedback matrices F_1, \dots, F_T , such that controller (2) render the outputs $\gamma(kT), k = 1, 2, \dots$, of system (1) independent of the disturbances $v(t), t = 0, 1, \dots$. The corresponding ordered feedback matrices, if they exist, (F_1, \dots, F_m) will be called a solution of DDP-PMD.

For the case of T=1, system (1) is time-invariant, and the corresponding disturbance decoupling problem has been completely solved in the framework of Wonham's geometric approach^[3]. The solution was given in terms of the concept of (A,B)-invariant subspace which plays a key role in Wonham's theory.

The single-rate version of DDP-PMD, in which the

^{*} Foundation item; supported by the Science Foundation of School of Science of University of Aeronautics and Astronautics (98k11).

Received date; 1999 - 05 - 04; Revised date; 2000 - 02 - 21

outputs are sampled at every step and are required to be decoupled from the disturbances, was solved by Grasselli and Longhi in [4]. See also References [5] and [6]. Note that DDP-PMD is not a substitute for DLP of [4]. See Reference [1] for a brief discussion of a related engineering background.

Inspired by Wonham's work, we aim at extending the standard geometric framework so as to incorporate our problem. To do this, the concept of multifeedback controlled invariant subspace is formulated. A criterion and an algorithm are provided for calculationg the maximal multifeedback controlled invariant subspace contained in a given subspace. Based on these results, a solution for the DDP-PMD is obtained.

2 Multifeedback controlled invariant subspaces

In what follows, $\mathcal{B}_i = \operatorname{Im} B_i$ is the image of map B_i , \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, and W, V, K are certain subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, we have the kernel of $A \ker A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = 0 \mid \text{ and } A^{-1}W = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \in W\}$. Define $A = (A_1, \dots, A_T)$, $B = (B_1, \dots, B_T)$.

Definition 1 Suppose V is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , we call V an (A, B)-invariant subspace, if there exists a sequence of linear mapplings F_1, \dots, F_T , all from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^q , to satisfy

$$(A_T + B_T F_T)(A_{T-1} + B_{T-1} F_{T-1}) \cdots (A_1 + B_1 F_1) V \subseteq V$$
.
If $T = 1$, then the (A, B) -invariant subspace coincides with the standard (A, B) -invariant subspace.

Now we present a simple lemma which will play a fundamental role in the following derivations.

Lemma 1 Suppose W, V are two subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a matrix $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $(A + BF) W \subseteq V$ is

$$AW \subset V + \mathcal{B}$$
.

It is well known that a criterion for V to be an (A, B)-invariant subspace is $AV \subseteq V + \mathcal{B}$. A similar criterion exists for (A, B)-invariant subspace

Theorem 1 V is an (A, B)-invariant subspace if and only if

$$A_{T}A_{T-1}\cdots A_{1}V \subseteq V + \mathcal{B}_{T} + A_{T}\mathcal{B}_{T-1} + \cdots + A_{T}A_{T-1}\cdots A_{2}\mathcal{B}_{1}.$$

Proof Necessity. Assume that the matrices F_1, \dots, F_n are taken such that

$$(A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_1 + B_1 F_1) V \subset V.$$

Expanding the above expression, the necessity follows.

Sufficiency. By using

$$(A_T \cdots A_1) V \subset$$

$$(V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_2 \mathcal{B}_1) + \operatorname{Im}(A_T \cdots A_2 B_1)$$

and Lemma 1, we know that there exists a matrix F_1 : $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, such that

$$(A_T \cdots A_1 + A_T \cdots A_2 B_1 F_1) V \subseteq V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + \operatorname{Im}(A_T \cdots A_2 B_2).$$

Let $W_1 = (A_1 + B_1 F_1) V$. We further have $A_2 W_1 \subset$

$$(V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_A \mathcal{B}_A) + A_T \cdots A_A \mathcal{B}_B.$$

Utilizing Lemma 1, we may find a matrix $F_2: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, such that

$$(A_T \cdots A_2 + A_T \cdots A_3 B_2 F_2) W_1 \subseteq V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_4 \mathcal{B}_3.$$

Define $W_2 = (A_2 + B_2 F_2) W_1$.

Proceed the above discussion repeatedly. We may obtain $F_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, and $W_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, satisfying $W_i = (A_i + B_i F_i) W_{i-1}$, $i = 3, \dots, T-1$, and

$$A_T \cdots A_{i+1} W_i \subset$$

$$V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_{i+2} \mathcal{B}_{i+1}, i = 3, \cdots, T-1.$$

It is obvious that

$$W_{T-1} = (A_{T-1} + B_{T-1}F_{T-1})\cdots(A_1 + B_1F_1)V.$$

By using $A_T W_{T-1} \subseteq V + \mathcal{B}_T$, we can find a linear map $F_T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, such that

$$(A_T + B_T F_T) W_{T-1} \subset V.$$

Therefore.

$$(A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_1 + B_1 F_1) V \subset V.$$

Denote the set of (A,B)-invariant subspaces to be $\underline{\ell}(A,B;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Given $V\in\underline{\ell}(A,B;\mathbb{R}^n)$, define $\underline{\mathcal{F}}(A,B;V)=\{(F_1,\cdots,F_T):F_i:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^q,i=1,\cdots,T,s.t.\ (A_T+B_TF_T)(A_{T-1}+B_{T-1}F_{T-1})\cdots (A_1+B_1F_1)V\subset V\}$,

which is called the friend set of the (A, B)-invariant subspaces V.

Using the proof of Theorem 1, we may give a complete parameterization of the friend set of a given (A, B)-invariant subspaces.

Suppose $V \in \mathcal{A}(A, B; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Define a set of subspaces

in \mathbb{R}^n to be

$$W_i = (A_T \cdots A_{i+1})^{-1} (V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_{i+2} \mathcal{B}_{i+1}),$$

$$i = 1, \dots, T-1.$$

Then, $(F_1, \dots, F_T) \in \mathscr{F}(A, B; V)$ if and only if the following constraints are satisfied

$$\begin{cases}
(A_{1} + B_{1}F_{1})V \subseteq W_{1}, \\
(A_{2} + B_{2}F_{2})(A_{1} + B_{1}F_{1})V \subseteq W_{2}, \\
\vdots \\
(A_{T-1} + B_{T-1}F_{T-1})\cdots(A_{1} + B_{1}F_{1})V \subseteq W_{T-1}, \\
(A_{T} + B_{T}F_{T})\cdots(A_{1} + B_{1}F_{1})V \subseteq V.
\end{cases}$$
(3)

The above constraints could be transformed into the compatible matrix equations whose form is MXN = Q. Thus we may find the general solutions from top to bottom (see, e.g., Reference 7). All these general solutions together form a complete parameterization of $\mathcal{F}(A,B;V)$.

Similar to the time-invariant case, for an arbitrarily given subspace $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we may construct a maximal (A, B)-invariant subspace contained in K.

Denote $\angle(A, B; K) = \{V \subseteq K : V \in \angle(A, B; \mathbb{R}^n)\}$. It follows easily from Theorem 1 that the set $\angle(A, B; K)$ is closed under the operation of subspaces addition.

Proposition 1 The set $\mathcal{L}(A, B; K)$ contains a unique supreme element sup $\mathcal{L}(A, B; K)$.

Proposition 2 Define the sequence V^i according to

$$V^{0} = K,$$

$$V^{i} = K \cap (A_{T} \cdots A_{1})^{-1} (\mathscr{B}_{T} + A_{T} \mathscr{B}_{T-1} + \cdots + A_{T} \cdots A_{2} \mathscr{B}_{1} + V^{i-1}), i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$V^{i} \subset V^{i-1}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, \text{ and for some } l, l < 0$$

then $V^i \subseteq V^{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, and for some $l, l \le \dim(K)$.

$$V^l = \sup \ell(A, B; K)$$
.

These two propositions generalize the corresponding results for the case of T = 1.

3 Solutions for DDP-PMD

In this section, we study the solvability condition of DDP-PMD using the theory of (A, B)-invariant subspaces.

We now analyze the data of the closed-loop system (1) and (2):

$$\gamma((i+1)T) =$$

$$C(A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_1 + B_1 F_1) x(iT) +$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^{T} C(A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_j + B_j F_j) D_{\tau}(iT +$$

$$j-2) + CDv(iT + T-1), i = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Analogous to the solvability condition of DDP in [3], we can obtain a lemma from the above analysis.

Lemma 2 DDP-PMD is solvable if and only if there exists an (A, B)-invariant subspace V, and a sequence of feedback matrices $(F_1, \dots, F_T) \in \mathscr{F}(A, B; V)$, such that

i)
$$V \subset \ker C$$
;

ii)
$$\text{Im}D + (A_T + B_TF_T)\text{Im}D + \cdots + (A_T + B_TF_T)\cdots(A_2 + B_2F_2)\text{Im}D \subset V$$
.

Note that the above solvability condition cannot be verified in general.

Utilizing Lemma 2 and the theory of (A, B)-invariant subspace, a verifiable solvability condition can be developed.

Theorem 2 A necessary and sufficient condition for DDP-PMD to be solvable is

$$\operatorname{Im} D \subseteq V^* \cap A_T^{-1}(V^* + \mathcal{B}_T) \cap \cdots \cap (A_T \cdots A_2)^{-1}(V^* + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_3 \mathcal{B}_2),$$
where $V^* = \sup \mathcal{E}(A, B; \ker C)$.

Proof Necessity. By using Lemma 2, there must exist $V \in \mathcal{L}(A, B; \ker C)$ and $(F_1, \dots, F_T) \in \mathcal{F}(A, B; V)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Im} D + (A_T + B_T F_T) \operatorname{Im} D + \cdots + (A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_2 + B_2 F_2) \operatorname{Im} D \subset V.$$

Then we have

$$\operatorname{Im} D \subseteq V \cap A_T^{-1}(V + \mathcal{B}_T) \cap \cdots \cap (A_T \cdots A_2)^{-1}(V + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_3 \mathcal{B}_1).$$

Because $V \subseteq V^*$, the necessity follows .

Sufficiency. Define

$$K_1 = A_T^{-1}(V^* + \mathcal{B}_T),$$

 $K_2 = (A_T A_{T-1})^{-1}(V^* + \mathcal{B}_T + A_T \mathcal{B}_{T-1}),$
:

$$K_{T-1} = (A_T \cdots A_2)^{-1} (V^* + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_3 \mathcal{B}_2),$$

$$K_T = (A_T \cdots A_1)^{-1} (V^* + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_2 \mathcal{B}_1).$$

Note that $A_TK_1 \subseteq V^* + \mathcal{B}_T$. By using Lemma 1, we may find a matrix $F_T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$ so that $(A_T + B_TF_T)K_1 \subseteq V^*$. Note also that

$$A_{T-1}K_2 \subseteq A_{T}^{-1}(V^* + \mathcal{B}_{T}) + A_{T}A_{T}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{T-1} =$$

Vol. 18

$$K_1 + \mathcal{B}_{r-1} + \ker A_r = K_1 + \mathcal{B}_{r-1}.$$

The last equation follows from the fact that $\ker A_T \subseteq K_1$. Applying Lemma 1, we may find a matrix $F_{T-1}: \mathbb{R}^n$ $\to \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $(A_{T-1} + B_{T-1}F_{T-1})K_2 \subseteq K_1$. Therefore,

$$(A_T + B_T F_T)(A_{T-1} + B_{T-1} F_{T-1}) K_2 \subset V^*$$
.

Applying Lemma 1 repeatedly, we further find a sequence of matrices $F_{T-1}, \dots, F_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$ such that $(A_{T-i+1} + B_{T-i+1} F_{T-i+1}) K_i \subseteq K_{i-1}, i = 3, \dots, T-1$ and

$$(A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_2 + B_2 F_2) K_{T-1} \subset V^*$$
.

It can be verified that $A_1K_T \subseteq K_{T-1} + \mathcal{B}_1$. By using Lemma 1 we can find a matrix $F_1: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^q$, such that $(A_1 + B_1F_1)K_T \subseteq K_{T-1}$. Thus, a use of Theorem 1 gives

 $A_T\cdots A_1V^* \subseteq V^* + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T\cdots A_2\mathcal{B}_1,$ which means that $V^* \subset K_T$. So, we have

$$(A_T + B_T F_T) \cdots (A_1 + B_1 F_1) V^* \subset V^*.$$

It follows from Lemma 2 that the sequence of matrices (F_1, \dots, F_T) is a solution for DDP-PMD.

Remark Theorem 2 is readily applicable to the study of multirate control of time-invariant systems. Suppose system (1) is time-invariant, and our interest is seeking a feedback control law (2) such that the outputs y at specific sampling times y(0), y(T), y(2T), \cdots are decoupled from the disturbances. According to Theorem 2, this problem is solvable if and only if

$$\operatorname{Im} D \subseteq V^* \cap A^{-1}(V^* + \mathcal{B}) \cap \cdots \cap A^{-(T-1)}(V^* + \mathcal{B} + \cdots + A^{T-2}\mathcal{B}), \quad (5)$$

where A = A(t), $\mathcal{B} = \text{Im}B(t)$. Reference [1] has shown that if we use the asynchronous periodically time-varying controller

$$u(jT + i - 1) = F_{i}x(jT),$$

 $i = 1, \dots, T, j = 0, 1, \dots,$
(6)

instead of (2), then the corresponding decoupling condition, using our notations, is

Im $D \subseteq V^* \cap A^{-1}V^* \cap \cdots \cap A^{-(T-1)}V^*$. (7) It is obvious that condition (7) is more restrictive than (5). Therefore the synchronous periodical feedback strategy (2) has more decoupling capability than the asynchronous periodical feedback strategy (6).

If DDP-PMD for system (1) is solvable, then we may characterize a family of solutions which are inde-

pendent of the disturbance matrix D.

Suppose matrices H_1, \cdots, H_T and C_1, \cdots, C_T are taken so that ${\rm Im} H_1 = {\rm ker} C_T = V^*$, and

$$Im H_T = \ker C_{T-1} = A_T^{-1} (V^* + \mathcal{B}_T),$$

Im $H_2 = \ker C_1 = (A_T \cdots A_2)^{-1} (V^* + \mathcal{B}_T + \cdots + A_T \cdots A_3 \mathcal{B}_2).$ Define

$$\mathcal{F}(A, B; V^{*}) = \{(F_{1}, \dots, F_{T}): F_{i} = -(C_{i}B_{i})^{+} C_{i}A_{i}H_{i}H_{i}^{+} + Y_{i} - (C_{i}B_{i})^{+} C_{i}B_{i}Y_{i}H_{i}H_{i}^{+}, \forall Y_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}, i = 1, \dots, T\}.$$

Corollary Suppose system (1) is DDP-PMD solvable, then each element of the set $\mathscr{F}(A, B; V^*)$ is a DDP-PMD solution for (1).

Proof Define subspaces

$$K_{i} = (A_{T} \cdots A_{T-i+1})^{-1} (V^{*} + \mathcal{B}_{T} + \cdots + A_{T} \cdots A_{T-i+2} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{T-i+1}), i = 1, \dots, T-1.$$

By using the proof of Theorem 2, any sequence of feedback matrices (F_1, \dots, F_T) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} (A_{T} + B_{T}F_{T})K_{1} \subseteq V^{*}, \\ (A_{T-1} + B_{T-1}F_{T-1})K_{2} \subseteq K_{1}, \\ \vdots \\ (A_{2} + B_{2}F_{2})K_{T-1} \subseteq K_{T-2}, \\ (A_{1} + B_{1}F_{1})V^{*} \subset K_{T-1} \end{cases}$$
(8)

is a DDP-PMD solution for (1).

The relationships (8) could be equivalently expressed as matrix equations

$$C_i(A_i + B_iF_i)H_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, T.$$
 (9)

By using the theory of matrices (see, e.g., Reference [7]), $\mathscr{F}(A, B, V^*)$ is exactly the set of general solutions of equations (9).

4 Conclusion

In this paper, the disturbance decoupling problem of periodic and multirate discrete-time linear systems has been addressed. A new concept of multifeedback controlled invariant subspace is introduced. A verifiable criterion for a subspace to be multifeedback controlled invariant is given. Using these, a necessary and sufficient condition for the solvability of DDP-PTD is obtained. More importantly, we can completely characterize the friend set of a given multifeedback controlled invariant subspace and partially characterize the DDP-PTD solu-

tions

The stability issue of DDP-PTD is an important topic for further investigation. Future work should first introduce appropriate notion of (A, B)-controllability subspace, then apply it to the disturbance decoupling problem with stability for system (1).

References

- [1] Serrano L J and Ramadge P J. Sampled disturbance decoupling with stability using multirate control [J]. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, 1991, 36(9):1061 - 1065
- [2] Sun Z, Xiao X and Gao W. Multifeedback (A, B)-invariant subspaces and multirate sampled decoupling [J]. Chinese J. of Automation, 1995,7(3):239 246
- [3] Wonham W M. Linear Multivariable Control A Geometric Ap-

- proach [M]. Berlin: Spinger-Verlag, 1995
- [4] Grasselli O M and Longli S. Disturbance localisation with dead-beat control for linear periodic discrete-time systems [J]. Int. J. Control, 1986, 44(5):1319 – 1347
- [5] Grasselli O M and Longli S. Disturbance localisation by measurement feedback for linear periodic discrete-time system [J]. Automatica, 1988.24(3):375 – 385
- [6] Grizzle J W. Controlled invariance for discrete-time nonlinear systems with an application to the disturbance decoupling problem [J]. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, 1985,30(9):868 – 872
- [7] Rao C R and Miltra S K. Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Application [M]. New York: John-Wiley, 1971

本文作者简介

孙振东 1968 年生,1990 年毕业于青岛海洋大学应用数学系,1993 年厦门大学系统科学系获硕士学位,1996 年于北京航空航天大学七研获博士学位,同年进入清华大学自动化系从事博士后研究,现为北京航空航天大学副教授,

(Continued from page 384)

- [9] Luo N and De La Sen M. State feedback sliding mode control of a class of uncertain time delay system [J] IEE Proceedings-D, 1993, 140(4):261-274
- [10] Graham W, Su C Y and Stepanenko. A sliding mode controller with improved adaption laws for the upper bounds on the norm of uncertainties, Automatica, 1998,34(12):1657 - 1661
- [11] Hu Jiaobo and Chu Jian. An approximate VSC algorithm with the estimations for the parameters of the upper norms of the uncertainties [J]. Information and Control, 1999,28(S):148-151
- [12] Wu Hansheng. A class of state feedback controllers with simple structures for uncertain nonlinear dynamical systems [J]. Trans. of Society of Instrument and Control Engineers, 1996, 32(4):477 – 485
- [13] Huang L. Stability Theory [M]. Beijing: Publisher of Peking Uni-

- versity . 1992 . 12 44
- [14] Martin J Corless and George Leitmann. Continuous state feedback guaranteeing uniform ultimate boundness for uncertain dynamic systems [J]. IEEE Trans. Automatic Control, 1981, 26(5):1139 – 1144

本文作者简介

胡劍波 浙江大学工业控制技术研究所博士,副教授,主要研究 領域:变结构控制、工业控制和飞行控制。

楷 億 1963 年生,1982 年毕业于浙江大学,1986 年至 1989 年 留学日本京都大学,1989 年获工学博士学位,1993 年聘为浙江大学 教授,博士生导师,现为工业自动化国家工程研究中心副主任,首批 "长江"学者计划特聘教授,浙江大学先进控制研究所所长,主要从事 时滞系统控制,非线性控制,鲁棒控制等理论与应用的研究工作。